



# Missouri Juvenile & Family Division Annual Report

## Calendar 2017



Submitted by:  
Supreme Court of Missouri  
Office of State Courts Administrator (OSCA)  
Prepared by:  
Court Business Services Division  
Research Unit

## Table of Contents

Introduction.....	7
<b>Section 1: Missouri’s Youth Population .....</b>	<b>8</b>
Figure 1-1 Youth Population.....	8
Figure 1-2 Projected Youth Population .....	8
Figure 1-3 Youth Population by Age and Sex .....	8
Figure 1-4 Youth Population by Sex .....	9
Figure 1-5 Youth Population by Race .....	9
<b>Section 2: Juvenile &amp; Family Division Referrals.....</b>	<b>10</b>
Table 2-1 Source of Referrals .....	11
Figure 2-1 Referrals by Referral Type.....	11
Figure 2-2 Referrals by Sex.....	12
Figure 2-3 Referrals by Race.....	12
Figure 2-4 Referrals by Age .....	12
Figure 2-5 Youth Referral Trend.....	13
Figure 2-6 Youth Referrals by Sex .....	13
Figure 2-7 Youth Referrals by Race .....	13
Figure 2-8 Youth Referrals by Action Taken.....	16
Figure 2-9 Youth Referrals by Disposition.....	16
<b>Section 3: Law Violation Referrals.....</b>	<b>17</b>
Table 3-1 Source of Law Violation Referrals .....	17
Figure 3-1 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level .....	17
Figure 3-2 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Sex .....	18
Figure 3-3 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Race .....	18
Figure 3-4 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Age.....	18
Table 3–2 Top Law Violation Referrals.....	19
Table 3-3 Law Violation Referrals by Major Allegation and Age Group.....	20
Figure 3-5 Law Violation Referrals by Action Taken .....	21
Figure 3-6 Law Violation Referrals by Disposition.....	21
<b>Section 4: Status Offense Referrals.....</b>	<b>22</b>
Table 4-1 Source of Status Offense Referrals.....	22
Figure 4-1 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation .....	22
Figure 4-2 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Sex .....	23
Figure 4-3 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Race.....	23
Figure 4-4 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Age .....	24

Figure 4-5 Status Offense Referrals by Action Taken.....	25
Figure 4-6 Status Offense Referrals by Disposition.....	25
<b>Section 5: Child Abuse and Neglect Referrals .....</b>	<b>26</b>
Table 5-1 Source of CA/N Referrals.....	26
Figure 5-1 CA/N Referrals by Allegation Type.....	26
Figure 5-2 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Sex .....	27
Figure 5-3 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Race .....	27
Figure 5-4 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Age.....	27
Figure 5-5 CA/N Referrals by Action Taken.....	28
Figure 5-6 CA/N Referrals by Disposition .....	28
<b>Section 6: Assessment &amp; Classification .....</b>	<b>29</b>
Figure 6-1 Risk by Level .....	30
Figure 6-2 Risk by Sex .....	30
Figure 6-3 Risk by Race.....	30
Table 6-1 Risk Factors.....	31
Table 6-2 Needs Factors .....	32
<b>Section 7: Detention Services.....</b>	<b>34</b>
Table 7-1 Youth Population August 31, 2017.....	35
Figure 7-1 Total Admissions by Sex .....	35
Figure 7-2 Total Admissions by Race .....	36
Figure 7-3 Total Admissions by Sex and Race .....	36
Figure 7-4 Total Admissions by Age Group .....	36
Figure 7-5 Total Admissions by Sex and Age Group.....	37
Figure 7-6 Total Admissions by Race and Age Group.....	37
Figure 7-7 Average Daily Population by Sex.....	37
Figure 7-8 Average Daily Population by Race .....	38
Figure 7-9 Average Daily Population by Sex and Race .....	38
Figure 7-10 Average Daily Population by Age Group .....	38
Figure 7-11 Median and Average Length of Stay by Sex.....	39
Figure 7-12 Median and Average Length of Stay by Race.....	39
Figure 7-13 Average Length of Stay by Sex and Race .....	39
Figure 7-14 Median Length of Stay by Sex and Race .....	40
Figure 7-15 Median and Average Length of Stay by Age Group .....	40
<b>Section 8: Division of Youth Services Commitments .....</b>	<b>41</b>
Figure 8-1 Statewide DYS Commitments by Sex and Race .....	41
Figure 8-2 Statewide DYS Commitments by Age Group .....	41

Figure 8-3 Statewide DYS Commitments by Sex, Race, and Age Group .....	42
<b>Section 9: Certification to Adult Court .....</b>	<b>43</b>
Figure 9-1 Certifications by Sex .....	43
Figure 9-2 Certifications by Race .....	43
Figure 9-3 Certifications by Age .....	43
Figure 9-4 Certification Trends by Race .....	44
<b>Section 10: Juvenile Offender Recidivism .....</b>	<b>45</b>
Figure 10-1 Missouri Youth Offender Population .....	46
Figure 10-2 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (All Law) .....	46
Figure 10-3 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (Misd A or Felony) .....	46
Figure 10-4 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (Felony) .....	47
Figure 10-5 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Year .....	47
Figure 10-6 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Sex .....	47
Figure 10-7 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Race .....	48
Figure 10-8 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Circuit Type .....	48
Figure 10-9 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Age .....	48
<b>Section 11: Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) .....</b>	<b>49</b>
Table 11-1: Identifying the Numerical Bases for Rate Calculations .....	50
Table 11-2: Relative Rate Index (RRI) Values .....	50
Table 11-3: 2016 Statewide Relative Rate Indices .....	51
Figure 11-1 Six-Year Trend of Statewide RRI for Referrals of Black Youth .....	51
<b>Section 12: Juvenile Officer Workload .....</b>	<b>52</b>
Table 12-1 Workload Values per Year from Juvenile Officer Workload Study (2013) .....	53
Table 12-2: Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload .....	54
<b>Section 13: CA/N Time Standards .....</b>	<b>55</b>
Table 13-1 CA/N Hearings Held Timely .....	56
<b>Appendices .....</b>	<b>58</b>
Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County .....	58
Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County .....	61
Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County .....	64
Appendix D: CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County .....	67
Appendix E: Administrative Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County .....	70
Appendix F: Type of Referral by Circuit and County .....	72
Appendix G: Law Referrals by Level, Circuit, and County .....	75
Appendix H: CA/N Referrals by Type, Circuit, and County .....	78
Appendix I: Status Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County .....	81

Appendix J: Administrative Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County ..... 84

Appendix K: Out of Home Placements by Circuit..... 86

Appendix L: In Home Services by Circuit ..... 87

Appendix M: Commitments to DYS by Circuit, Race, and Sex ..... 88

Appendix N: Certification to Adult Court by Circuit, Race, and Sex..... 89

Appendix O: Map of Missouri’s 46 Judicial Circuits ..... 90

Cover photos credit: [www.ncjfcj.org](http://www.ncjfcj.org)


# Introduction

The *Missouri Juvenile and Family Division Annual Report* provides a comprehensive account of both case activity and youth served for calendar 2017. This report presents general population data for Missouri youth; summary statistics on the youth referred for status, law, and abuse and neglect to Missouri's juvenile division; the risk and needs characteristics of the juvenile offender population; detention and DYS populations; recidivism rates; certifications of juveniles to adult court; disproportionate minority contact rates; Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload; and time standards for child abuse and neglect cases.



The *Missouri Juvenile and Family Division Annual Report* is not possible without the help of Missouri's juvenile and family court staff. It is their commitment to improving outcomes for court involved youth and their families that ensures the integrity of the information reported here.

## Section 1: Missouri's Youth Population

Section 1 describes the 2016 population of Missouri's youth (ages 10-17). This description provides a useful context for considering subsequent sections of the report related to a subset of youth involved with juvenile and family court divisions in Missouri [Source: Missouri Census Data Center].





<p><b>Figure 1-4 Youth Population by Sex</b></p> <p>In CY16, 51% [321,508] of Missouri's youth population was male and 49% [306,712] was female. These percentages have not changed over the last three years.</p>	<p><b>2016 Youth Population: 10-17 Year Olds by Race</b></p>  <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Sex</th><th>Population</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Male</td><td>321,508</td></tr><tr><td>Female</td><td>306,712</td></tr></tbody></table>	Sex	Population	Male	321,508	Female	306,712						
Sex	Population												
Male	321,508												
Female	306,712												
<p><b>Figure 1-5 Youth Population by Race</b></p> <p>Between CY15 and CY16, the population of Asian / Pacific Islander youth increased by 0.03% to 14,949, and the population of Hispanic youth increased by 0.04% to 38,434 over the previous year. The population of Native American youth decreased by 2% to 3,472. The population of black youth stayed relatively the same, with 93,127, while the population of white youth also stayed relatively the same from the previous year with 478,238.</p>	<p><b>2016 Youth Population: 10-17 Year Olds by Race</b></p>  <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Race</th><th>Population</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>White</td><td>478,238</td></tr><tr><td>Black</td><td>93,127</td></tr><tr><td>Hispanic</td><td>38,434</td></tr><tr><td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td><td>14,949</td></tr><tr><td>Native American</td><td>3,472</td></tr></tbody></table>	Race	Population	White	478,238	Black	93,127	Hispanic	38,434	Asian/Pacific Islander	14,949	Native American	3,472
Race	Population												
White	478,238												
Black	93,127												
Hispanic	38,434												
Asian/Pacific Islander	14,949												
Native American	3,472												

## Section 2: Juvenile & Family Division Referrals

---

The Missouri Juvenile Officer Performance Standards (2017) define a juvenile and family division referral as *“the initial information provided to the juvenile officer from the referring agency inclusive of the identifying information and basis for the jurisdiction of the juvenile court.”* For the purpose of annual reporting, unless otherwise indicated, disposed referrals represent the unit of measurement, not individual youth. A disposition refers to the outcome or finding of a referral [see pages 14-15 for details about how these dispositions are reported in Missouri’s Judicial Information System (JIS)].

The juvenile and family division is responsible for processing and supervising four referral types:

- **Status Offenses:** Status offense referrals include Behavior Injurious to Self/Others, Habitually Absent from Home, Truancy, Beyond Parental Control, and Status-Other.  
**Note:** The following offenses were also counted as Status Offenses: Juvenile Municipal Ordinance violations, which are those municipal ordinance violations that are explicitly labeled with “JUVMUNI” in the charge code  
(<http://www.mshp.dps.missouri.gov/MSHPWeb/PatrolDivisions/CRID/documents/2016JuvenileChargeCodes.pdf>).
- **Law Offenses:** Law offense referrals include all criminal violations listed in the Missouri Charge Code Manual, including infraction and ordinance violations, except Juvenile Municipal Ordinance violations.
- **Child Abuse and Neglect (CA/N):** CA/N referrals are allegations of child abuse or neglect where the child is the victim or custody related matters are an issue. Abuse referrals include Abuse-Emotional, Abuse-Incest, Abuse-Other Sexual, and Physical Abuse. Neglect referrals include Abandonment, Neglect-Education, Neglect-Improper Care/Supervision, Neglect-Medical Care, Neglect-Surgical Care, and Neglect-Other. Custody referrals include Abduction, Protective Custody, Transfer of Custody, Termination of Parental Rights, and Relief of Custody.
- **Administrative:** Administrative referrals include Violation of Valid Court Order, Juvenile Informal Supervision/Technical Violation, and Juvenile Formal Supervision/Technical Violation.<sup>1</sup>

Section 2 presents information on disposed referrals at the state level for the juvenile and family division in calendar 2017.

<sup>1</sup> Counts of Administrative referrals throughout this report include these additional violations: Prob / Parole Violation and Probation Violation (Municipal Ordinance). While not sanctioned for use on juvenile referrals, circuits have used these charge codes in calendar 2017.

## Section 2: Juvenile & Family Division Referrals

**Table 2-1 Source of Referrals**


Referrals to Missouri's juvenile and family division originate from a variety of sources. In CY17, 45% of all referrals originated from some type of law enforcement agency (Municipal Police, County Sheriff, Highway Patrol, and Other Law Enforcement), primarily municipal police [37%]. The Children's Division of Missouri's Department of Social Services accounted for 20% of all referrals. An additional 20% of referrals occurred at schools (School Personnel and Resource Officer).  
Missing Data [538].


Source of Referral	Frequency	Percentage
Municipal Police	17,990	37.3%
Children's Division	9,805	20.3%
School Personnel	7,661	15.9%
County Sheriff	3,427	7.1%
Juv Court Personnel	2,662	5.5%
School Resource Officer	2,099	4.4%
Parent	1,773	3.7%
Other	1,389	2.9%
Other Juv Court	385	0.8%
Private Social Agency	285	0.6%
Other Law Enforcement	224	0.5%
Highway Patrol	191	0.4%
Other Relative	187	0.4%
Public Social Agency	74	0.2%
Victim or Self	69	0.1%
DMH	14	0.0%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>48,235</b>	<b>100.00 %</b>

**Figure 2-1 Referrals by Referral Type**


In CY17, a total of 48,773 referrals were disposed. The largest percentage [36%, 17,584] was for law violations. The rest of the referrals were divided between abuse / neglect allegations [32%, 15,419], status offenses [28%, 13,745], and administrative offenses [4%, 1,964].  
Missing Data [61].

**Note:** Juvenile Municipal Ordinance violations are included with status referrals.



**Total Referrals by Sex****Figure 2-2 Referrals by Sex**

For all the disposed referrals in CY17, males were responsible for 61% [29,597] and females for the remaining 39% [19,101].  
Missing Data [75]

**Total Referrals by Race****Figure 2-3 Referrals by Race**

Approximately, 71% [34,325] of all disposed referrals were for white youth and 26% [12,530] for black youth. Hispanic youth accounted for 2.5% [1,188], Asian / Pacific Islander youth accounted for .5% [238], and Native American youth accounted for 0.3% [124].  
Missing Data [368].

**Total Referrals by Age**

Age	Frequency	Percentage
< 10	11,421	23.4
10	1,607	3.3
11	2,210	4.5
12	3,195	6.6
13	4,710	9.7
14	6,683	13.7
15	8,266	17.0
16	9,321	19.1
> = 17	1,334	2.7
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>48,747</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>

**Figure 2-4 Referrals by Age**


The youngest age group, under 10 years, was responsible for 23.4% [11,421] of all referrals. Youth aged 16, were responsible for the next largest proportion, 19.1% of referrals [9,321], followed by youth aged 15, [17%, 8,266] and youth aged 14 [13.7%, 6,683].  
Missing Data [26]

## Section 2: Juvenile & Family Division Referrals

**Figure 2-5 Youth Referral Trend**

The total number of disposed referrals declined by 27% from 2008 to 2017. The trend shows the greatest decrease in delinquency referrals (-50%). While status referrals decreased (-20%), CA/N referrals increased (24%), and administrative referrals decreased (-4%) over that period. Since last year, there was a decrease in status referrals (-7%), CA/N referrals (-8%), law referrals (-7%), and administrative referrals (-6%).


**Youth Referrals by Referral Type: 2008-2017**



**Figure 2-6 Youth Referrals by Sex**

Disposed referrals declined more for males (-30%) than for females (-21%) from 2008 to 2017. Between 2016 and 2017, the number of referrals of males declined (-8%) and referrals of females declined (-6%).

**Youth Referrals by Sex: 2008 - 2017**




**Figure 2-7 Youth Referrals by Race**

From 2008-2017, disposed referrals of white youth declined (-23%), referrals of black youth declined (-36%), referrals of Hispanic youth remained steady (0% change), referrals of Asian / Pacific Islander youth increased (4%), and referrals of Native American youth increased (33%).

**Note:** Asian / Pacific Islander and Native American youth are not displayed to maintain readability.

**Youth Referrals by Race: 2008-2017**



The juvenile and family division responds to referrals either through a formal or informal process. Through the formal process, a juvenile officer files a petition in the juvenile and family division to have a judge hear and determine the outcome of the allegations contained in the petition. Through the informal process, a juvenile officer determines the disposition of the allegations contained in the referral without filing a petition seeking formal judicial jurisdiction. The following referral dispositions are recorded on the ***Site Defined (COASITE)*** form of the ***Custom Docket Entry and Maintenance (CDADOCT)*** of JIS.

***Formal Dispositions:***

**Allegation True, Youth Receives Out-of-Home Placement** – A judicial action finding the allegation true. Youth is placed out-of-home with the Division of Youth Services (DYS), in foster care, with a relative, or with a private or public agency. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

**Allegation True, Youth Receives In-Home Services** – A judicial action finding the allegation true. Youth receives services while remaining in his or her home. This disposition requires the youth to receive supervision through the juvenile division. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

**Allegation True, No Services** – A judicial action finding the allegation true; however, the youth receives no services or supervision. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

**Allegation Not True** – A judicial action which results in the termination of a juvenile case during the initial juvenile division hearing because the allegation is found not true. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

**Sustain Motion to Dismiss** – A judicial action which results in a motion to dismiss the petition before the initial division hearing. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

**Juvenile Certified – Felony Allegation** - A judicial action sustaining a motion to dismiss a petition to the juvenile division and allow prosecution of youth under the general law. [JIS Docket = DVPTN]

***Informal Dispositions:***

**Informal Adjustment with Supervision:** Any informal non-judicial activity that occurs without the filing of a petition and involves supervision of youth by written agreement and complies with Missouri Supreme Court Rules for an informal adjustment conference and the relevant contact standards contained in the Missouri Juvenile Officer Performance Standards. This disposition requires completion of the risk and needs assessment when the referral is for a status or delinquency allegation. [JIS Docket = VIAWS]


**Informal Adjustment without Supervision:** Any informal non-judicial activity that occurs without the filing of a petition and involves supervision of youth by written agreement and complies with Missouri Supreme Court Rules for an informal adjustment conference. Although services may be monitored, this disposition does not include direct supervision of a youth in accordance with the Missouri Juvenile Officer Performance Standards. However, because the disposition is applied on the basis of an informal adjustment conference, completion of the mandated risk and needs assessments is required when the referral is for a status or delinquency allegation. [JIS Docket = VIANIS]

**Informal Adjustment, Counseled and Warned:** Any informal non-judicial activity that entails no more than brief face-to-face, telephone, or warning letter with the intent to inform, counsel, and warn the youth and/or family regarding a referral received. No official informal adjustment conference, per Supreme Court Rule is held; therefore completion of the mandated risk or needs assessments is not required when the referral is for a status or delinquency allegation. [JIS Docket = DVCAW]

**Transfer to Other Juvenile Division:** A non-judicial activity where a youth's case file and associated records are transferred to another juvenile division for disposition. Depending on when this disposition is applied, an official informal adjustment conference and associated assessments may or may not occur. [JIS Docket = DVTJC]


**Transfer to Other Agency:** A non-judicial activity where a youth's case file and associated records are transferred to another agency (CD, DMH, DYS, or other public or private agency) for disposition. Depending on when this disposition is applied, an official informal adjustment conference and associated assessments may or may not occur. [JIS Docket = DVTA]

**Referral Rejected:** The referral is rejected because there is insufficient information for administrative action to proceed or the referral is found not true. No informal adjustment conference is conducted and no assessments are required. [JIS Docket = DVRIE – Insufficient information; DVRNT – Not True]

**Youth Referrals by Action Taken****Figure 2-8 Youth Referrals by Action Taken**

In CY17, 75% [36,295] of all referrals were disposed through the informal process. Only 25% [11,923] of referrals required formal court intervention.

Missing Data [555]

**Total Referrals by Disposition****Figure 2-9 Youth Referrals by Disposition**

Informal Adjustment, No Action [18%, 8,649] was the most frequently used method of disposing referrals, followed closely by Informal Adjustment, With Supervision [17%, 8,073]. Allegation True with Out-of-Home Placement [16%, 7,621] was the most frequently applied formal disposition, followed by referrals where supervision was applied as an in-home service [5%, 2,584].

Missing Data [555]



## Section 3: Law Violation Referrals

Section 3 describes law violation referrals disposed by Missouri's juvenile and family division. Law violation referrals made up 36% of all referrals disposed in calendar 2017. A law violation referral is counted as a single delinquent act represented by the most serious allegation charged (misdemeanor or higher). However, multiple delinquent acts may be associated with a single referral. **Note:** Infractions and municipal ordinances are included under law violations. Juvenile municipal ordinances are listed under status offenses.

**Table 3-1 Source of Law Violation Referrals**


The source of 79% of law violation referrals was some form of law enforcement agency (Municipal Police, County Sheriff, Highway Patrol, and Other Law Enforcement), primarily municipal police [67%] and county sheriff departments [11%]. Schools were the second highest referring agency [15%] (School Personnel and Resource Officer combined).  
Missing Data [74]


Source of Referral	Frequency	Percentage
Municipal Police	11,652	66.5 %
County Sheriff	1,912	10.9 %
School Resource Officer	1,500	8.6 %
School Personnel	1,109	6.3 %
Juv Court Personnel	358	2.0 %
Children's Division	241	1.4 %
Other Law Enforcement	158	0.9 %
Highway Patrol	141	0.8 %
Other Juv Court	130	0.7 %
Parent	127	0.7 %
Other	111	0.6 %
Victim or Self	41	0.2 %
Other Relative	17	0.0 %
Public Social Agency	8	0.0 %
Private Social Agency	4	0.0 %
DMH	1	0.0 %
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>17,510</b>	<b>100.00 %</b>

**Figure 3-1 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level**


Class A misdemeanors accounted for most of the law referrals [40%, 6,977], followed by Class B misdemeanors [14%, 2,497]. Felonies represented about 1/4 of law referrals, with Class D being the most common type of felony referral [10%, 1,736]. Four percent of all law violations were for Class A and B felonies [342 & 404].  
Missing Data [0]

**Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level**




**Law Violations by Charge Level and Sex****Figure 3-2 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Sex**

Law violations at the misdemeanor level were the most common allegation for both male and female offenders. However, within sex, the percentage of referrals for misdemeanors was higher for females [80%, 4,002] than for males [68%, 8,520]. Conversely, males were referred at a higher rate [28%, 3,513] for felonies than were females [17%, 845]. Missing Data [25]

**Law Violations by Charge Level and Race****Figure 3-3 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Race**

Misdemeanor was the most common charge for all law violations. However, within race, the percentage of felony referrals was higher for black youth [28%, 1,618] than white youth [23%, 2,594], Hispanic youth [24%, 97], Asian / Pacific Islander youth [27%, 25], or Native American youth [14%, 4]. Missing Data [66]

**Law Violations by Charge Level and Age****Figure 3-4 Law Violation Referrals by Charge Level and Age**

Youth aged 15 and 16 years old were responsible for the largest number of misdemeanors and the largest number of felonies. However, youth aged 11 were proportionally the most likely to commit misdemeanors (80% of their violations), while youth aged 17 and older were proportionally the most likely to commit felonies (40% of their violations). Missing Data [6]

### Section 3: Law Violation Referrals

**Table 3–2 Top Law Violation Referrals**

Violations for Assault, Stealing, Property Damage, Dangerous Drugs, and Peace Disturbance accounted for the majority [70%] of major allegations on law referrals. Missing Data [0]

Top Law Violations CY17	Frequency	Percentage
Assault	4,511	25.65%
Stealing	3,039	17.28%
Property Damage	1,952	11.10%
Dangerous Drugs	1,651	9.39%
Peace Disturbance	1,232	7.01%
Sexual Assault	777	4.42%
Burglary	592	3.37%
Invasion of Privacy	554	3.15%
*Municipal Violations	491	2.79%
Liquor Laws	449	2.55%
Weapons	357	2.03%
Obscenity	258	1.47%
Obstructing Police	256	1.46%
Sex Offenses	218	1.24%
Robbery	205	1.17%
Public Order Crimes	189	1.07%
Health and Safety	138	0.78%
Obstructing Judicial Process	134	0.76%
Threats	119	0.68%
Arson	108	0.61%
Motor Vehicle Violations	80	0.45%
Fraud	79	0.45%
Stolen Property	49	0.28%
Conservation	32	0.18%
Homicide	24	0.14%
Other	24	0.14%
Forgery	21	0.12%
Flight/Escape	19	0.11%
Family Offenses	18	0.10%
Kidnapping	8	0.05%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>17,584</b>	<b>100.00 %</b>

**Note:** Juvenile municipal ordinances are listed under status offenses.

**Table 3-3 Law Violation Referrals by Major Allegation and Age Group**

Fifty-two percent of all juvenile law violation referrals were committed by youth aged 15 and 16. These youth were responsible for 71% of homicides, 71% of drug charges, 69% of robberies, 57% of stealing referrals and 50% of kidnappings. Only promoting obscenity, sex offenses, and public order crimes were committed at a higher rate by youth between the ages of 13 and 14. Of note, juveniles under 12 had the highest rate of arson across all age groups (43%). Missing Data [6]

Major Allegation	Age Range									Total
	<10	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	>=17	
Assault	189	124	241	443	624	883	945	987	74	<b>4,510</b>
Stealing	47	46	109	228	324	459	693	1,053	80	<b>3,039</b>
Property Damage	81	51	75	135	219	345	463	527	56	<b>1,952</b>
Dangerous Drugs	1	2	13	39	114	231	400	768	83	<b>1,651</b>
Peace Disturbance	39	25	62	111	188	253	274	268	12	<b>1,232</b>
Sexual Assault	37	12	34	60	108	136	147	141	100	<b>775</b>
Burglary	10	6	7	29	75	117	157	159	32	<b>592</b>
Invasion of Privacy	10	5	14	27	57	113	143	166	19	<b>554</b>
Municipal Violations	9	8	14	21	56	95	124	158	6	<b>491</b>
Liquor Laws	4	0	2	9	23	69	124	193	25	<b>449</b>
Weapons	11	12	16	28	37	51	92	99	11	<b>357</b>
Obscenity	1	1	6	31	52	63	61	33	9	<b>257</b>
Obstructing Police	3	2	6	11	27	44	64	93	6	<b>256</b>
Sex Offenses	13	3	8	16	24	49	39	32	32	<b>216</b>
Robbery	0	0	0	4	21	30	50	92	8	<b>205</b>
Public Order Crimes	15	3	7	23	37	38	26	36	4	<b>189</b>
Health & Safety	3	3	3	8	24	30	31	33	3	<b>138</b>
Obstructing Judicial Process	0	1	1	4	11	30	44	38	5	<b>134</b>
Threats	4	4	4	15	16	25	29	21	1	<b>119</b>
Arson	12	7	9	18	12	16	12	21	1	<b>108</b>
Motor Vehicle Violations	0	0	0	2	10	23	27	17	1	<b>80</b>
Fraud	0	0	1	5	12	18	18	22	3	<b>79</b>
Stolen Property	0	0	0	1	5	7	14	19	3	<b>49</b>
Conservation	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	16	1	<b>32</b>
Homicide	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	8	2	<b>24</b>
Other	0	0	0	3	2	1	7	10	1	<b>24</b>
Forgery	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	12	2	<b>21</b>
Flight/Escape	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	4	<b>19</b>
Family Offenses	4	0	2	0	3	1	2	5	1	<b>18</b>
Kidnapping	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	2	1	<b>8</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>493</b>	<b>315</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>1,272</b>	<b>2,083</b>	<b>3,142</b>	<b>4,015</b>	<b>5,038</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>17,578</b>


**Note:** Juvenile municipal ordinances are listed under status offenses.

**Figure 3-5 Law Violation Referrals by Action Taken**

Eighty-four percent [14,642] of law violation referrals were disposed through the informal court process. The remaining 16% required formal court intervention [2,854].

Missing Data [88]

**Action Taken for Law Violation Referrals**




**Figure 3-6 Law Violation Referrals by Disposition**

The most frequently used methods of disposing law violation referrals were Informal Adjustment with Supervision [20%, 3,515], and Referral Rejected [20%, 3,501]. Allegation Found True With In-Home Services was the most frequently applied formal disposition [8%, 1,407], followed by Allegation Found True-Out-of-Home Placement [5%, 819]. Less than 1% [60] of referrals resulted in petitions for Certification to Adult Court.

Missing Data [88]

**Law Violations By Disposition**




## Section 4: Status Offense Referrals

Section 4 describes status offense referrals disposed by the juvenile and family division. Status offense referrals made up 28% of all referrals in calendar 2017. A status violation referral is counted as a single behavioral act represented by the most serious allegation charged. However, multiple status offense acts may be associated with a single referral. **Note:** Juvenile Municipal Ordinances are included in Status Offenses.

Source of Referral	Frequency	Percentage
Municipal Police	5,102	37.1 %
School Personnel	4,643	33.8 %
County Sheriff	1,105	8.0 %
Parent	1,090	7.9 %
School Resource Officer	528	3.8 %
Children's Division	521	3.8 %
Juv Court Personnel	346	2.5 %
Other	88	0.6 %
Other Relative	87	0.6 %
Other Juv Court	70	0.5 %
Other Law Enforcement	52	0.4%
Private Social Agency	38	0.3%
Highway Patrol	30	0.2%
Public Social Agency	22	0.2%
Victim or self	9	0.0%
DMH	6	0.0%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>13,737</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Table 4-1 Source of Status Offense Referrals**

Forty-six percent of status violation referrals originated from some form of law enforcement agency (Municipal Police, County Sheriff, Highway Patrol, and Other Law Enforcement), primarily municipal police [37%] and county sheriff departments [8%]. Schools [38%] were the second highest referring agency (School Personnel and Resource Officer combined), followed by parents [8%] and Children's Division [4%]. Missing Data [8]



**Figure 4-1 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation**


Behavior Injurious to Self or Others [32%, 4,460] was the most frequent status offense for which youth were referred, followed closely by Truancy [24%, 3,295]. Muni-Curfew constitutes 5% of status offense referrals, while the remaining Juvenile Municipal Ordinance charges combined account for less than 1% of all status offense referrals. Missing data [0].

**Figure 4-2 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Sex**

Behavior Injurious to Self/Others was the most common allegation for both males [36%, 2,889] and females [28%, 1,570]. An approximately equal percentage of males [16%, 1,235] and females [15%, 845] were referred for Beyond Parental Control. Males were more likely than females to be referred for all of the allegations listed except being Habitually Absent from Home. Being Habitually Absent from home accounted for [26%, 1,468] for females allegations and [16%, 1,261] of male allegations. Missing Data [0]

**Note:** Due to space constraints, only Muni-Curfew is included.

**Status Offenses by Allegation and Sex**




**Figure 4-3 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Race**

Behavior Injurious to Self/Others was the most common reason to be referred for White [34%, 3,485], Hispanic [31%, 110], and Native American [41%, 15] youth. Black youth were most frequently referred for Habitually Absent from Home [32%, 955]. Missing Data [70]

**Note:** Due to space constraints, only Muni-Curfew is included.

**Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Race**



**Figure 4-4 Status Offense Referrals by Allegation and Age**

Behavior Injurious to Self/Others was the most common type of referral for youth aged 12 and younger [48%, 1,534], 13-14 [31%, 1,309] and 17 and older [36%, 109]. Youth aged 15-16 years were most commonly referred for being Habitually Absent from Home [26%, 1,552].

Missing Data [2]


	Age Range								
	< 10	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	>=17
Behavior Injurious To Self/Others	501	245	321	467	569	740	769	738	109
Truancy	202	61	119	271	462	655	718	763	44
Status Offense - Other	46	15	34	44	55	63	87	101	19
Beyond Parental Control	132	73	158	209	296	351	429	413	18
Habitually Absent From Home	32	30	59	115	297	542	752	800	102
Muni – Curfew	2	2	7	27	77	108	210	204	9
Muni-Possession/ Use of a Tobacco Product	0	0	1	1	11	12	10	10	0
Muni - Carry Gun/Unlocked	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Muni - Smoking In Public Place	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Muni - Possession/ Discharge Arms	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Muni - Other Violation	0	0	0	1	3	5	2	10	1
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>915</b>	<b>427</b>	<b>699</b>	<b>1,135</b>	<b>1,770</b>	<b>2,476</b>	<b>2,979</b>	<b>3,040</b>	<b>302</b>



**Figure 4-5 Status Offense Referrals by Action Taken**

The vast majority of status offense referrals [92%, 12,597] were disposed through the informal process, leaving only 8% [1,138] to be disposed through the formal court process. Missing Data [10]


**Status Offense Referrals by Action Taken**



**Figure 4-6 Status Offense Referrals by Disposition**

Informal Adjustment, Counseled and Warned [28%, 3,827] was the most frequently used method for disposing status referrals, followed by Informal Adjustment without Supervision [22%, 3,004]. Allegation True with In-Home Services was the most frequently applied formal disposition [4%, 491]. Missing Data [10]

**Status Offense Referrals by Disposition**



## Section 5: Child Abuse and Neglect Referrals

Section 5 describes child abuse and neglect (CA/N) referrals disposed by Missouri's juvenile and family division. CA/N referrals made up 32% of all referrals in calendar 2017. A CA/N referral is counted as a single event, represented by the most serious allegation where a youth is the victim. However, a youth may be the victim of multiple incidences of abuse and/or neglect at the time at which they are referred.


Source of Referral	Frequency	Percent
Children's Division	9,007	60.0 %
School Personnel	1,690	11.3 %
Other	1,155	7.7 %
Municipal Police	1,027	6.8 %
Juv Court Personnel	697	4.6 %
Parent	523	3.5 %
County Sheriff	395	2.6 %
Other Juv Court	182	1.2 %
Private Social Agency	153	1.0 %
Other Relative	65	0.4 %
School Resource Officer	63	0.4 %
Highway Patrol	20	0.1 %
Other Law Enforcement	11	0.0 %
Victim or Self	9	0.0 %
Public Social Agency	8	0.0 %
DMH	6	0.0 %
<b>Total</b>	<b>15,011</b>	<b>100 %</b>

**Table 5-1 Source of CA/N Referrals**

The source of 60% of all CA/N referrals was Children's Division (CD) of Missouri's Department of Social Services (DSS). Law enforcement agencies (Municipal Police, County Sheriff, Highway Patrol, and Other Law Enforcement) were responsible for 9.5% of the referrals. Approximately, 12% of the referrals originated from schools (School Personnel and Resource Officer combined).

Missing Data [408]

**Child Abuse & Neglect Referrals by Referral Type**



**Figure 5-1 CA/N Referrals by Allegation Type**

Neglect-Improper Care / Supervision represented nearly half [45%, 6,883] of all CA/N referrals, followed by Neglect-Other [12%, 1,915] and Neglect-Education [12%, 1,773].

Missing Data [0]


## Section 5: Child Abuse and Neglect Referrals

**Figure 5-2 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Sex**

Within sex, the percentage of neglect related referrals was slightly greater for males [73%, 5,507] than for females [69%, 5,400]. Conversely, referrals for abuse were greater for females [19%, 1,458] compared with their male counterparts [15%, 1,140].

Missing Data [44]

**Law Violations by Charge Level and Sex**



**Figure 5-3 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Race**

Neglect was the most common type of referral for all youth [71%, 10,788]. All minority youth groups were proportionately more likely than white youth to be referred for abuse. Proportionately, Native American youth were the most likely minority group to be referred for abuse [28%, 16].

Missing Data [227]

Race/Ethnicity	Juvenile Abuse	Juvenile Custody	Juvenile Neglect	Total
White	1,773	1,356	8,333	11,462
Black	676	442	2,143	3,261
Hispanic	90	33	228	351
Asian / Pacific Islander	14	1	45	60
Native American	16	3	39	58
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2,569</b>	<b>1,835</b>	<b>10,788</b>	<b>15,192</b>


**Figure 5-4 CA/N Referrals by Allegation and Age**

The vast majority of abuse, neglect, and custody referrals were for youth 10 years of age and younger [65%, 9,986]. Neglect [71%, 10,929] was the most frequently reported allegation for all age groups.

Missing Data [18]

Age	Juvenile Abuse	Juvenile Custody	Juvenile Neglect	Total
< 10	1,448	1,349	7,189	9,986
10	137	84	641	862
11	146	75	629	850
12	141	80	519	740
13	156	64	490	710
14	189	67	487	743
15	145	65	428	638
16	180	63	393	636
> = 17	57	26	153	236
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2,599</b>	<b>1,873</b>	<b>10,929</b>	<b>15,401</b>

**Action Taken for Child Abuse & Neglect Referrals**




**Figure 5-5 CA/N Referrals by Action Taken**

Fifty-one percent of CA/N referrals were disposed through the informal court process [7,664]. The remaining 49% [7,347] of referrals were handled through formal court process.

Missing Data [408]

**Child Abuse and Neglect Referrals by Disposition**



**Figure 5-6 CA/N Referrals by Disposition**

Allegation True, Out-of-home Placement was the most frequently applied disposition [41%, 6,210] to CA/N referrals, followed by Referral Rejected [14%, 2,039] and Informal Adjustment, No Action [12%, 1,828].

Missing Data [411]

## Section 6: Assessment & Classification

---

In 1995, the Missouri General Assembly passed the Juvenile Crime and Crime Prevention Bill [HB 174]. The bill was aimed at reshaping Missouri's juvenile justice system through the development of a comprehensive juvenile justice strategy. As part of the strategy, the Office of State Courts Administrator was charged with coordinating an effort to design and implement a standardized assessment process for classifying juvenile offenders. The result of this effort was the Missouri Juvenile Offender Classification System.

The Missouri Juvenile Offender Classification System includes an empirically validated risk assessment for estimating a youthful offender's relative likelihood of future delinquency and a classification matrix that links the level of risk and offense severity to a recommended set of graduated sanctions. The system also includes a needs assessment for identifying the underlying psychosocial needs of youth.

Since its inception, the Missouri Juvenile Offender Classification system has helped Missouri's juvenile justice professionals to ensure public safety and promote statewide consistency in the services and supervision of youthful offenders.

Section 6 presents information on juveniles with referrals, disposed during calendar year 2017, who had risk and needs assessments entered on the **Custom Assessment Maintenance (CZAASMT)** form of JIS. When a referral has more than one associated risk/needs assessment(s), the highest score is reported. When a referral is not associated with any risk/needs assessment(s) in the reporting year, the score associated with the risk/needs assessment that was completed on the nearest date before or after the initial filing date of the referral is the one that is reported, regardless of the year the assessment was completed. Figures 6-1 to 6-3 provide risk level information with Tables 6-1 and 6-2 providing information about the prevalence of individual risk factors. \*\*

*\*\*Readers should refer to Missouri's Juvenile Offender Risk & Needs Assessment and Classification System Manual (2005) for the operational definitions of risk and needs factors.*

<div><h3>Risk Levels</h3><table><thead><tr><th>Risk Level</th><th>Count</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Low</td><td>3,078</td></tr><tr><td>Moderate</td><td>8,346</td></tr><tr><td>High</td><td>1,803</td></tr></tbody></table></div>	Risk Level	Count	Low	3,078	Moderate	8,346	High	1,803	<p><b>Figure 6-1 Risk by Level</b></p> <p>The majority of youth [63%, 8,346] scored at moderate risk for future delinquent acts on risk assessments in CY17. The remaining youth scored at low [23%, 3,078] or high risk levels [14%, 1,803]. Missing Data [0]</p>																
Risk Level	Count																								
Low	3,078																								
Moderate	8,346																								
High	1,803																								
<div><h3>Risk Level by Sex</h3><table><thead><tr><th>Sex</th><th>Low</th><th>Moderate</th><th>High</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Male</td><td>1,958</td><td>5,618</td><td>1,346</td></tr><tr><td>Female</td><td>1,113</td><td>2,722</td><td>457</td></tr></tbody></table></div>	Sex	Low	Moderate	High	Male	1,958	5,618	1,346	Female	1,113	2,722	457	<p><b>Figure 6-2 Risk by Sex</b></p> <p>Proportionately, more male youth [15%, 1,346] were assessed high risk than females [11%, 457]. Females [26%, 1,113] were more likely than their male counterparts [22%, 1,958] to be assessed low risk. Both male [5,618] and female [2,722] youth were assessed moderate risk 63% of the time. Missing Data [13]</p>												
Sex	Low	Moderate	High																						
Male	1,958	5,618	1,346																						
Female	1,113	2,722	457																						
<div><h3>Risk Level by Race</h3><table><thead><tr><th>Race</th><th>Low</th><th>Moderate</th><th>High</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>White</td><td>2,419</td><td>6,121</td><td>1,176</td></tr><tr><td>Black</td><td>536</td><td>1,896</td><td>583</td></tr><tr><td>Hispanic</td><td>74</td><td>231</td><td>35</td></tr><tr><td>Asian/ Pacific Islander</td><td>22</td><td>44</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td>Native American</td><td>7</td><td>22</td><td>2</td></tr></tbody></table></div>	Race	Low	Moderate	High	White	2,419	6,121	1,176	Black	536	1,896	583	Hispanic	74	231	35	Asian/ Pacific Islander	22	44	5	Native American	7	22	2	<p><b>Figure 6-3 Risk by Race</b></p> <p>Proportionately, more black youth [19%, 583] were assessed high risk than white youth [16%, 1,176]. White youth [25%, 2,419] were more likely than their black counterparts [18%, 536] to be assessed low risk. Missing Data [54]</p>
Race	Low	Moderate	High																						
White	2,419	6,121	1,176																						
Black	536	1,896	583																						
Hispanic	74	231	35																						
Asian/ Pacific Islander	22	44	5																						
Native American	7	22	2																						

Table 6-1 Risk Factors

Age at First Referral	Frequency	Percentage
12 and under	4,894	37.0 %
13	2,160	16.3 %
14	2,258	17.1 %
15	2,043	15.4 %
16	1,822	13.8 %
Prior Referrals	Frequency	Percentage
None	6,275	47.4 %
One or more	6,902	52.2 %
Assault Referrals	Frequency	Percentage
No prior or present referrals for assault	9,677	73.2 %
One or more prior or present referrals for misdemeanor assault	2,984	22.6 %
One or more prior or present referrals for felony assault	516	3.9 %
History of Placement	Frequency	Percentage
No prior out-of-home placement	9,492	71.8 %
Prior out-of-home placement	3,685	27.9 %
Peer Relationships	Frequency	Percentage
Neutral influence	7,018	53.1 %
Negative influence	5,071	38.3 %
Strong negative influence	1,088	8.2 %
History of Child Abuse/Neglect	Frequency	Percentage
No history of child abuse/neglect	10,255	77.5 %
History of child abuse/neglect	2,922	22.1 %
Substance Abuse	Frequency	Percentage
No alcohol or drug abuse problem is apparent	9,928	75.1 %
Moderate alcohol and/or drug abuse problem	2,815	21.3 %
Severe alcohol and/or drug abuse/dependence	434	3.3 %
School Attendance/Disciplinary	Frequency	Percentage
No or only minor problems	5,753	43.5 %
Moderate problems	5,443	41.2 %
Severe problems	1,981	15.0 %
Parental Management Style	Frequency	Percentage
Effective management style	6,090	46.0 %
Moderately effective management style	5,660	42.8 %
Severely ineffective management style	1,427	10.8 %
Parental History of Incarceration	Frequency	Percentage
No prior incarceration	9,261	70.0 %
Prior incarceration	3,916	29.6 %

Table 6-2 Needs Factors

Behavior Problems	Frequency	Percentage
No significant behavior problem	4,948	38.6 %
Moderate behavior problem	5,917	46.2 %
Severe behavior problem	1,885	14.7 %
Attitude	Frequency	Percentage
Motivated to change/accepts responsibility	8,297	64.7 %
Generally uncooperative, defensive, not motivated to change	3,629	28.3 %
Very negative attitude, defiant, and resistant to change	824	6.4 %
Interpersonal Skills	Frequency	Percentage
Good interpersonal skills	7,987	62.3 %
Moderately impaired interpersonal skills	4,266	33.3 %
Severely impaired interpersonal skills	497	3.8 %
Peer Relationships	Frequency	Percentage
Neutral influence	6,649	51.9 %
Negative Influence	5,028	39.2 %
Strong negative Influence	1,073	8.4 %
History of Child Abuse/Neglect	Frequency	Percentage
No history child abuse/neglect	9,875	77.1 %
History of child abuse/neglect	2,875	22.4 %
Mental Health	Frequency	Percentage
No mental health disorder	8,735	68.2 %
Mental health disorder with treatment	3,286	25.6 %
Mental health disorder with no treatment	729	5.7 %
Substance Abuse	Frequency	Percentage
No alcohol or drug abuse problem is apparent	9,496	74.1 %
Moderate alcohol and/or drug abuse problem	2,839	22.2 %
Severe alcohol and/or drug abuse/dependence	415	3.2 %
School Attendance	Frequency	Percentage
No or only minor problems	5,522	43.1 %
Moderate problems	5,295	41.4 %
Severe problems	1,933	15.1 %
Academic Performance	Frequency	Percentage
Passing without difficulty	6,541	51.0 %
Functioning below average	4,412	34.4 %
Failing	1,797	14.0 %
Learning Disorder	Frequency	Percentage
No diagnosed learning disorder	10,962	85.5 %
Diagnosed learning disorder	1,788	14.0 %



Needs Factors (Cont.)		
Employment	Frequency	Percentage
Full-time employment	479	3.7 %
Part-time employment	654	5.0 %
Unemployed	1,744	13.6 %
Juvenile's Parental Responsibility	Frequency	Percentage
No children	12,315	96.1 %
One child	236	1.8 %
Two children	105	0.8 %
Three or more children	94	0.7 %
Health/Handicaps	Frequency	Percentage
No health problems or physical handicaps	12,245	95.6 %
No health problems/handicaps but limited access to health care	153	1.2 %
Mild physical handicap or medical condition	297	2.3 %
Pregnancy	16	0.1 %
Serious physical handicap or medical condition	39	0.3 %
Parental Management Style	Frequency	Percentage
Effective management style	5,899	46.0 %
Moderately ineffective management style	5,399	42.1 %
Severely ineffective management style	1,452	11.3 %
Parental Mental Health	Frequency	Percentage
No parental history of mental health disorder	10,285	80.3 %
Parental history of mental health disorder	2,465	19.2 %
Parental Substance Abuse	Frequency	Percentage
No parental substance abuse	9,918	77.4 %
Parental substance abuse	2,832	22.1 %
Social Support System	Frequency	Percentage
Strong social support system	6,255	48.8 %
Limited support system, with one positive role model	5,162	40.3 %
Weak support system; no positive role models	1,133	8.8 %
Strong negative or criminal influence	200	1.6 %

## Section 7: Detention Services

---

Missouri's juvenile and family division of the circuit court includes 17 detention facilities to house youth in need of secure detention. Juvenile justice personnel identify offenders most in need of secure detention using the objective criteria contained in Missouri's Juvenile Detention Assessment (JDTA). In addition, 16 detention centers participate in the Annie Casey Foundation Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) [highlighted in table 7-1] for calendar 2017. [The 29<sup>th</sup> and 32<sup>nd</sup> circuits also participate in JDAI but do not have detention centers.] JDAI is an effort to assist the juvenile and family division with development and use of community-based alternatives to secure detention when detention is determined to be unnecessary or inappropriate. The initiative emphasizes the collection and application of objective data to identify practices that may contribute to over-utilization of secure detention, detention overcrowding, and disproportionate minority confinement.

Section 7 presents admission, discharge, population, and length of stay information entered on the ***Custom Room Facility Assignment (CZAROOM)*** form of JIS for Missouri's secure detention facilities. Depending on the reporting objective, counts are based on admissions or discharges; a single youth may be counted multiple times if they were detained on more than one occasion.

**Table 7-1 Youth  
Population August 31,  
2017**

Metropolitan circuits [16, 21, & 22] account for 32% of all youth detained in Missouri as of the last day of August 2017.


**Note:** Non-JDAI sites with detention facilities are shaded.


Youth Population on August 31, 2017		
Circuit	Population	Percent MO Youth Detained
2	1	0.9 %
5	0	0.0 %
7	5	4.3 %
11	13	11.3 %
13	18	15.7 %
16	18	15.7 %
17	4	3.5 %
19	2	1.7 %
21	9	7.8 %
22	10	8.7 %
23	3	2.6 %
24	4	3.5 %
26	2	1.7 %
31	2	1.7 %
33	5	4.3 %
35	10	8.7 %
44	9	7.8 %
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>100.0 %</b>

**Figure 7-1 Total  
Admissions by Sex**


There were 2,643 admissions to secure detention facilities in CY17. Males [2,090] accounted for 79% of these admissions. Females accounted for the remaining 21% [547].

Missing Data [6]




**Total Detention Admissions by Race****Figure 7-2 Total Admissions by Race**

White youth accounted for 55% [1,463] of admissions to secure detention facilities while black youth accounted for 41% [1,083]. Hispanic youth accounted for 3% [71] of admissions, while Asian / Pacific Islander youth accounted for 1% [15], and Native American youth accounted for 0.0% [6]. Missing Data [4]

**Total Detention Admissions by Sex and Race****Figure 7-3 Total Admissions by Sex and Race**


Among male detainees, white males accounted for the largest number of admissions to secure detention facilities [54%, 1,125], followed by black males [43%, 891]. Among female detainees, white females accounted for the largest percentage of admissions to a detention center [62%, 338], followed by black females [35%, 190]. Missing data [3]

**Total Detention Admissions by Age Group****Figure 7-4 Total Admissions by Age Group**

Youth between the ages of 15 and 16 years accounted for the majority of admissions [64%, 1,691], followed by 13-14 year olds [25%, 655]. The age groups of 12 years or younger [5%, 126] and 17 years or older [6%, 168] accounted for the lowest percentage of admissions across age groups. Missing Data [2]


**Figure 7-5 Total Admissions by Sex and Age Group**

Male youth, 15 to 16 years old, represented the greatest number of admissions to detention facilities [51%, 1348].  
Missing Data [1]




**Figure 7-6 Total Admissions by Race and Age Group**


White youth, 15 to 16 years old, represented the greatest number of admissions to detention facilities [35%, 923], followed by black youth of the same age group [27%, 714].  
Missing Data [2]



**Figure 7-7 Average Daily Population by Sex**


The statewide average daily detention population was 126. The vast majority [109, 86%] of these detainees were male.  
Missing Data [4]



**Average Daily Population by Race****Figure 7-8 Average Daily Population by Race**


The statewide average daily population for black youth [67] in secure detention was greater than all other youth combined [62].

Missing Data [3]

**Average Daily Population by Sex and Race****Figure 7-9 Average Daily Population by Sex and Race**

Within sex, the statewide average daily detention population was greatest for black males [60]. For the female population, white detainees had the highest average daily detention population [9].

Missing Data [7]


**Average Daily Population by Age Group****Figure 7-10 Average Daily Population by Age Group**

Within age groups, the statewide average daily detention population was greatest for 15-16 year old youth [87], followed by 13-14 year old youth [30]. The average daily population was the lowest for ages 12 and younger [4] and 17 and older [6].

Missing Data [2].


**Figure 7-11 Median and Average Length of Stay by Sex**

The statewide average length of stay in detention facilities was 19 days for males and 11 days for females. Missing Data [5].




**Figure 7-12 Median and Average Length of Stay by Race**


Statewide, black youth had the longest average length of stay [23]. Hispanic had the longest median length of stay [8.5]. Missing Data [4]



**Figure 7-13 Average Length of Stay by Sex and Race**

The statewide average length of stay was longest for black males [25] and Hispanic males [16]. The average length of stay was similar for Asian females, black females, and Hispanic females [14]. Missing Data [6]








## Section 8: Division of Youth Services Commitments

Section 8 presents demographic information on youth committed to the Division of Youth Services (DYS) identified by a docket entry of DDYS – Committed to DYS on the ***Custom Docket Entry and Maintenance (CDADOCT)*** form of JIS in calendar 2017. For circuit level information on these commitments, refer to Appendix M. Assuming commitments to DYS are entered into JIS only once for a youth, the count is unduplicated. (**Note:** Docket entries in JIS produce data different from that historically reported by DYS.)



## Section 8: Division of Youth Services Commitments




			Race				
			White	Black	Hispanic	Native American	
Sex	Male	Age	<=12	8	1	0	0
			13	23	4	2	0
			14	48	20	1	0
			15	66	34	4	0
			16	112	61	2	1
			>=17	17	14	1	0
	Female		<=12	1	1	0	0
			13	4	3	1	0
			14	10	4	1	0
			15	26	7	0	0
			16	29	9	0	0
			>=17	2	1	0	0


**Figure 8-3**  
**Statewide DYS**  
**Commitments**  
**by Sex, Race,**  
**and Age Group**

More white males, aged 15-16 years [178], were committed to DYS than all females combined [99].  
Missing Data [0]

## Section 9: Certification to Adult Court

Section 9 presents demographic information about youth certified to adult court, identified by the docket entry of DJVCA - JUV Certified to Adult Court on the ***Custom Docket Entry and Maintenance (CDADOCT)*** form of JIS in calendar 2017. For additional circuit level information about these certifications, refer to Appendix N. Assuming certifications are entered into JIS only once for a youth, the count presented is unduplicated.

<p><b>Figure 9-1 Certifications by Sex</b></p> <p>The statewide total for offenders certified to adult court was 53. Males represented 96% [51] while females represented 4% [2]. Missing Data [0]</p>	<p><b>Statewide Certified Youth by Sex</b></p>  <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sex</th> <th>Count</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Male</td> <td>51</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Female</td> <td>2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sex	Count	Male	51	Female	2		
Sex	Count								
Male	51								
Female	2								
<p><b>Figure 9-2 Certifications by Race</b></p> <p>The percentage of offenders certified to adult court was greater for black youth [74%, 39] than for white youth [26%, 14]. Missing Data [0]</p>	<p><b>Statewide Certified Youth by Race</b></p>  <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Race</th> <th>Count</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>White</td> <td>14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Black</td> <td>39</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Race	Count	White	14	Black	39		
Race	Count								
White	14								
Black	39								
<p><b>Figure 9-3 Certifications by Age</b></p> <p>Forty-nine percent [26] of offenders certified to adult courts were 17 years or older. Forty-seven percent [25] were 16 years old. The remaining 4% [2] were 15 years old. Missing Data [0]</p>	<p><b>Statewide Certified Youth by Age</b></p>  <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Age</th> <th>Count</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>15</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;=17</td> <td>26</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Age	Count	15	2	16	25	>=17	26
Age	Count								
15	2								
16	25								
>=17	26								



**Figure 9-4 Certification Trends by Race**

The number of offenders certified to adult courts declined between 2010 and 2012 for all races. In 2013 the number of certifications increased, mostly due to a 26% increase in certifications of black youth. In 2014, the number of white offenders certified declined (43%) while the number of black youth continued to increase (21%). In 2015, the number of white offenders did not change while the number of black offenders decreased by (27%). In 2016, this switched, because the number of white youth certified increased by 17%, while the number of black youth certified did not change. On trend with 2015 and 2016, there was minimal change in any racial category in 2017.


## Section 10: Juvenile Offender Recidivism

---


Juvenile divisions across the country are being asked to provide evidence that public funds are used in cost-effective ways to reduce and prevent juvenile crime. For Missouri juvenile divisions to measure progress in this area, the following statewide definition of juvenile offender recidivism was developed through consensus:

*“A juvenile offender recidivist is any youth, referred to the juvenile office for a legally sufficient law violation during a calendar year, who receives one or more legally sufficient law violation(s) to the juvenile or adult court within one year of the initial referral’s disposition date.”*


Section 10 presents the demographic and offense characteristics that influenced recidivism rates for the calendar 2016 cohort of Missouri juvenile law offenders who were tracked through calendar 2017 for recidivism.

**Offender Population as a Proportion of Missouri Youth****Figure 10-1 Missouri Youth Offender Population**

Approximately 1.5% [9,395] of the 628,220 juveniles aged 10-17 were referred to Missouri's juvenile and family division for legally sufficient law violation referrals in CY16.

**Percentage of Youth Recidivating****Figure 10-2 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (All Law)**

Twenty-two percent [2,045] of the 9,395 juvenile law offenders in CY16 recidivated through a new law violation within one year of the disposition date of their initial referral.


**Percentage of Youth Recidivating (Felonies or Misdemeanor A)****Figure 10-3 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (Misd A or Felony)**

Sixteen percent [1,456] of the 9,395 juvenile law offenders in CY16 recidivated either with a new Class A misdemeanor or felony offense within one year of the disposition date of their initial referral.

**Figure 10-4 Percentage of Youth Recidivating (Felony Charges)**

Six percent [569] of the 9,395 juvenile law offenders in CY16 recidivated with a felony offense within one year of the disposition date of their initial referral.


**Percentage of Youth Recidivating (Felony Charges)**



**Figure 10-5 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Year**

The percentage of the recidivists with any law violation increased by 0.9% between the 2015 cohort and the 2016 cohort. Additionally, the percent of youth who recidivated with either a Class A misdemeanor or felony increased from 14.9% to 15.5%. The percentage of youth recidivating with a felony increased 1.3%.


**Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Year**



**Figure 10-6 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Sex**

Males [24%] from the CY16 cohort recidivated at a higher rate than their female [16%] counterparts. This holds true for those who recidivated with either Class A misdemeanor or felony offense, as well as for those who recidivated with only a felony offense.

**Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Sex**



<p><b>Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Race</b></p> <table><thead><tr><th>Race</th><th>All Law Referrals</th><th>Felonies &amp; Class A Misdemeanors</th><th>Felonies</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>White</td><td>20.4%</td><td>13.9%</td><td>4.5%</td></tr><tr><td>Black</td><td>25.0%</td><td>19.0%</td><td>9.5%</td></tr><tr><td>Other</td><td>21.6%</td><td>15.5%</td><td>4.3%</td></tr></tbody></table>	Race	All Law Referrals	Felonies & Class A Misdemeanors	Felonies	White	20.4%	13.9%	4.5%	Black	25.0%	19.0%	9.5%	Other	21.6%	15.5%	4.3%	<p><b>Figure 10-7 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Race</b></p> <p>Proportionately, black youth [25%, 696] from the CY16 cohort had a higher rate of recidivism than their other minority counterparts [22%, 71] and white counterparts [20%, 1,274] for all law referrals. The same holds true for referrals for felonies with class A misdemeanors, as well as all felony charges.</p>				
Race	All Law Referrals	Felonies & Class A Misdemeanors	Felonies																		
White	20.4%	13.9%	4.5%																		
Black	25.0%	19.0%	9.5%																		
Other	21.6%	15.5%	4.3%																		
<p><b>Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Circuit Type</b></p> <table><thead><tr><th>Circuit Type</th><th>All Law Referrals</th><th>Felonies &amp; Class A Misdemeanors</th><th>Felonies</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Multi-County Circuit</td><td>21.7%</td><td>13.9%</td><td>5.4%</td></tr><tr><td>Single County Circuit</td><td>21.8%</td><td>17.0%</td><td>6.7%</td></tr></tbody></table>	Circuit Type	All Law Referrals	Felonies & Class A Misdemeanors	Felonies	Multi-County Circuit	21.7%	13.9%	5.4%	Single County Circuit	21.8%	17.0%	6.7%	<p><b>Figure 10-8 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Circuit Type</b></p> <p>Recidivism from CY16 for all law violations was virtually the same for youth in single-county [22%, 1,068] as for youth in multi-county circuits, [22%, 977]. The percentages were higher for Class A misdemeanors and felonies [18%, 831] in single county circuits than in multi-county circuits [13.9%, 625], and also higher for just felony referrals in single county circuits [8%, 327] than in multi-county circuits [5.4%, 242].</p>								
Circuit Type	All Law Referrals	Felonies & Class A Misdemeanors	Felonies																		
Multi-County Circuit	21.7%	13.9%	5.4%																		
Single County Circuit	21.8%	17.0%	6.7%																		
<p><b>Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Age Group</b></p> <table><thead><tr><th>Age Group</th><th>All Law Referrals</th><th>Felonies &amp; Class A Misdemeanors</th><th>Felonies</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>&lt;=12</td><td>20.4%</td><td>14.2%</td><td>5.1%</td></tr><tr><td>13-14</td><td>25.0%</td><td>18.6%</td><td>7.8%</td></tr><tr><td>15-16</td><td>20.4%</td><td>16.1%</td><td>7.3%</td></tr><tr><td>&gt;=17</td><td>19.8%</td><td>15.0%</td><td>10.7%</td></tr></tbody></table>	Age Group	All Law Referrals	Felonies & Class A Misdemeanors	Felonies	<=12	20.4%	14.2%	5.1%	13-14	25.0%	18.6%	7.8%	15-16	20.4%	16.1%	7.3%	>=17	19.8%	15.0%	10.7%	<p><b>Figure 10-9 Percentage of Youth Recidivating by Age</b></p> <p>The percentage of recidivism is highest for youth between the ages of 13 and 14 years for all types of offenses, except felony only charges. Proportionately, youth 17 years or older had a higher recidivism rate for felonies [11%, 17].</p>
Age Group	All Law Referrals	Felonies & Class A Misdemeanors	Felonies																		
<=12	20.4%	14.2%	5.1%																		
13-14	25.0%	18.6%	7.8%																		
15-16	20.4%	16.1%	7.3%																		
>=17	19.8%	15.0%	10.7%																		



## Section 11: Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC)

---

### Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Initiative

DMC is one of four core requirements of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended in 2002. All states are required by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) to make efforts to document and reduce DMC.

DMC occurs whenever the overall volume of activity for minority youth at various juvenile justice contact points is disproportionately larger than the volume of activity for white youth at those points. It is important to examine *all* juvenile justice contact points due to the likelihood that minority youth will penetrate deeper into the juvenile justice system as a result of disproportionate minority contact with the system.

The existence of disproportionality does not necessarily mean that minority youth are experiencing disparity (or unequal treatment), because further analysis is needed to determine whether or not disproportionality is a consequence of disparities and/or other contributing mechanisms.

### What is a Relative Rate Index (RRI)?

The data analysis of the OJJDP Relative Rate Index (RRI) compares the relative volume of activity (rate) for eight court contact points for each minority youth group with the volume of activity (rate) for the majority group (white youth). It provides a single index number that indicates the extent to which the volume of contact differs.

Because the Relative Rate Index is intended to capture the overall extent of youth involvement with the juvenile justice system, the RRI calculation is based on *cases*, not individual *youth*. If a youth is referred to the juvenile court multiple times during the course of a single year, all of those referrals are included. Therefore, the data provided include ***duplicated*** counts for all court contact points.

**Example:** The RRI comparing rates of referral to juvenile court:

Rate of Referral for black youth:

$$\frac{\text{\# of black youth referred}}{\text{\# of black youth in population}} = \frac{150}{500} = 0.30 \times 1000 = 300$$

Rate of Referral for white youth:

$$\frac{\text{\# of white youth referred}}{\text{\# of white youth in population}} = \frac{200}{5000} = 0.04 \times 1000 = 40$$

Relative Rate Calculation for Referrals:

$$\frac{\text{Rate of Referral for black youth}}{\text{Rate of Referral for white youth}} = \frac{300}{40} = 7.5 \text{ RRI}$$

If the RRI is larger than 1.0, that means that the minority group experiences contact more often than white youth. If it is less than 1.0, that means that contact is less frequent. In this example, the RRI for black referrals is 7.5. This means that black youth are seven and a half times more likely to be referred to the juvenile office than white youth.

With the exception of the first rate (referral), which is calculated using the base of the number of youth in each major racial/ethnic grouping in the general population, each of the subsequent RRI is calculated based on the volume of activity for that racial/ethnic group in a proceeding stage in the case process. See Table 11-1.

**Table 11-1: Identifying the Numerical Bases for Rate Calculations**

Decision Stage / Contact Point	Base for Rates
Referrals to Juvenile Court	Rate per 1,000 Population
Referrals Diverted	Rate per 100 Referrals
Referrals Involving Secure Detention	Rate per 100 Referrals
Referrals Petitioned	Rate per 100 Referrals
Referrals Resulting in Delinquency Findings	Rate per 100 Petitions
Referrals Resulting in Supervision / Probation Placement	Rate per 100 Delinquency Findings
Referrals Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	Rate per 100 Delinquency Findings
Referrals Transferred to Adult Court	Rate per 100 Petitions Filed

**Table 11-2: Relative Rate Index (RRI) Values**

Area of Concern	Decision States or Contact Points
More than 1.00	Referrals to Juvenile Court Referrals Involving Secure Detention Referrals Petitioned Referrals Resulting in Delinquency Findings Referrals Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities Referrals Transferred to Adult Court
Less Than 1.00	Referrals Diverted Referrals Resulting in Supervision / Probation Placement

**Note:** RRI values that cause DMC concern can be greater than 1.00 or less than 1.00.

#### What Data are Used?

- U.S. Census data for youth ages 10-16 in all counties in Missouri. Seventeen year olds were not included, because they are under the jurisdiction of the adult court.
- Census data from the previous calendar year was used, because the Census population updates for the current year are not available at the time of publication.
- Office of State Courts Administrator delinquency data in the Judicial Information System (JIS). Law violation referrals and status referrals (but not child abuse and neglect referrals) were included.
- Transfers to other juvenile court referrals were not included.

#### What is a Parity Number?

- This is the number of minority referrals that would need to be reduced for the rate of juvenile justice involvement to be statistically equal for white and minority youth.

**Table 11-3: 2016 Statewide Relative Rate Indices**


Black youth experienced the largest disproportionality overall. Black youth were over-represented at referral, while Hispanic and Asian /Pacific Islander youth were under-represented at that point. Black youth and Hispanic youth were under-represented at supervision. Black youth also experienced disproportionality at: diversion, secure detention, petition, secure confinement, and certification.

Contact Point	Black	Hispanic	Asian / Pacific Islander	Native American
Referral	2.0	0.4	0.2	
Diversion	0.9			
Secure Detention	1.9			
Petition	1.4			
Adjudication	0.9			
Supervision	0.8	0.2		
Secure Confinement	0.8			
Certification	5.7			

**Note:** Caution should be used when interpreting the Hispanic data, because race and ethnicity are not separated in JIS. Thus, Hispanic youth are under-counted.

**Figure 11-1 Six-Year Trend of Statewide RRI for Referrals of Black Youth**

The RRI for referrals of black youth decreased from 2008 to 2011, but it subsequently increased from 2011 to 2013 before declining in 2014 and 2015 and then increasing in 2016. The reason for this is that, although referrals declined for all youth from 2010 to 2016, they did not do so evenly across groups in each year.



## Section 12: Juvenile Officer Workload

---

The Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload (JOWWL) system is an automated means of estimating the direct service need for additional deputy juvenile officers in Missouri's 35 multi-county circuits. The JOWWL compares the number of staff hours required to screen and process the status, law, and CA/N referrals received by juvenile divisions and to supervise youth in accordance with the ***Standards for the Administration of Juvenile Justice***, against the actual number of staff hours available to complete these direct service activities. When workload demand exceeds the number of staff hours available to meet it, a need for additional direct service personnel is projected. The Circuit Court Budget Committee (CCBC) adopted and first used the results of the JOWWL for estimating FTE needs for juvenile officers in fiscal 2004. The CCBC has since used the JOWWL annually for this budgetary purpose. In the Spring of 2013 a new workload study was conducted by the National Center for State Courts, and a new model was delivered January 2014. The new model required new methods of retrieving data from JIS pertaining to different activities conducted in Juvenile Courts, including diversion programs. The old model was used until January 2015 until sufficient data had been collected to calculate an annual workload using the new model.

### Example of Workload Estimate for Mock Multi-County Circuit

**Annual Case-Specific Workload:** Annual total work hours required to service juvenile cases at established standards includes screening, processing and supervising delinquency and CA/N cases, based on workload values identified by the 2013 juvenile officer workload study [Table 12-1].

*Example: Mock Circuit, 5,264 hours of direct service work are required to accommodate case management demand.*

**Staffing Demand:** Total number of direct service staff needed to meet Annual Case-Specific Workload. (Annual available work hours per Juvenile Office is 1,316)

*Example: Mock Circuit, Total Annual Case-Specific Workload / 1,316 hrs. = Staffing Demand (5,264 / 1,316 hrs. = 4.0 direct service staff needed).*

**Circuit FTE:** Total number of direct service staff currently employed by circuit.

*Example: Mock Circuit employs 3 direct service staff. Currently this includes all state-paid DJO I & II positions and all full-time staff paid through DYS diversion grant funds.*

**FTE Need:** Additional direct service staff needed to service Total Workload Hours per standards.

*Example: Mock Circuit, Staffing Demand – Circuit FTE = FTE Need (4.0 - 3.0 = 1.0 additional direct service staff).*

## Section 12: Juvenile Officer Workload

Table 12-1 Workload Values per Year from Juvenile Officer Workload Study (2013)		
Section Name	Column Description	Workload Value (hrs.)
Diversion	Diversion	61.20
Status Cases	Screening (Informal/formal)	15.60
	Informal Processing	44.88
	Informal Supervision	24.72
	Formal Processing	49.20
	Formal Supervision: All risk levels	22.56
	Truancy Court	78.72
Law Cases	Screening (Informal/formal)	22.80
	Informal Processing	47.04
	Informal Supervision	11.40
	Formal Processing	237.48
	Formal Supervision: All risk levels	40.92
	Juvenile Treatment Court	16.92
CA/N Cases	Screening (Informal/formal)	19.44
	Informal Processing	85.80
	Informal Supervision	14.28
	Formal Processing	183.60
	Formal Supervision and out-of-home placement	7.32
	Protections Orders	7.92
	Family Treatment Court	34.80
Termination of Parental Rights	Screening	36.36
	Court Related Activity	27.12
Alternatives to Detention	Alternatives (All Types)	14.52

Table 12-2: Juvenile Officer Weighted Workload

January 2017 Monthly Workload JIS Counts																																							
Circuit	All Types	Status Cases										Law Cases										CA/N Cases										TPR Cases	All	Annual Case-Specific Workload	Circuit FTE	Staffing Demand	Additional or Subtracted FTE Need	Positive Staffing Need Only	Grant Case Carrying
		Screening (Internal/Formal)	Internal processing	Internal supervision	Formal processing	Formal supervision	Screening (Internal/Formal)	Internal processing	Internal supervision	Formal processing	Formal supervision	Screening (Internal/Formal)	Internal processing	Internal supervision	Formal processing	Formal supervision	Screening (Internal/Formal)	Internal processing	Internal supervision	Formal processing	Formal supervision	Screening (Internal/Formal)	Internal processing	Internal supervision	Formal processing	Formal supervision	Screening (Internal/Formal)	Internal processing	Internal supervision	Formal processing	Formal supervision								
1	8.3	7.4	18.3	0.3	4.1	1.0	0.7	4.6	0.1	1.2	7.9	7.3	10.9	1.1	77.1	4.0	0.66	2.90	3.03	2.26	1.21	2.922	2	2.22	0.22	1	0												
2	23.1	10.1	4.2	9.4	0.2	1.3	9.9	2.9	10.7	2.2	11.6	13.2	1.2	0.7	7.5	130.1	5.6	2.0	0.8	1.1	1.9	6.397	4	4.86	0.86	2	2												
3	5.0	1.4	8.1	0.5	4.2	5.6	2.3	14.5	0.9	6.1	16.3	0.4	4.4	8.1	113.1	8.8	2.0	3.8	0.0	4.300	3	3.27	0.27	3	0														
4	13.8	5.9	25.8	0.7	1.3	4.7	2.8	10.3	0.8	1.5	21.7	3.4	14.1	8.9	71.7	2.6	0.5	4.8	1.5	5.045	4	3.83	0.00	4	0														
5	174.2	63.3	54.8	23.2	3.8	26.7	0.0	21.9	12.7	32.3	3.8	57.9	8.4	0.0	0.0	5.1	80.8	14.6	1.0	3.3	10.0	22.268	12	16.93	4.93	4.83	5	0											
8	8.1	5.2	10.8	1.3	3.3	9.0	4.1	9.2	1.6	5.6	12.4	3.0	4.5	1.3	13.8	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.851	2	2.17	0.17	8	0														
9	18.0	2.9	17.3	2.7	51.2	2.1	0.3	7.3	0.7	12.9	6.2	7.4	0.6	1.7	5.7	147.4	1.2	0.0	2.8	28.8	5.907	3	4.49	1.49	9	0													
10	9.7	5.1	47.3	1.3	18.1	9.5	3.8	58.3	1.4	26.8	5.3	0.3	0.9	4.5	156.3	9.8	0.5	2.3	2.0	6.800	6.6	5.17	-1.33	0.00	10	0													
12	26.3	13.3	52.7	0.9	0.1	11.8	5.5	36.7	1.3	38.2	11.6	0.4	2.3	8.3	232.6	11.2	5.4	4.4	10.0	2.6	9.429	7	7.17	0.17	12	0													
13	50.8	12.8	23.8	15.3	15.1	47.8	16.6	20.1	17.6	66.8	24.4	0.3	1.0	23.3	464.3	12.7	1.8	3.0	11.4	11.9	21.905	16	16.85	0.65	13	2													
14	23.6	9.1	30.0	1.8	1.5	9.7	1.7	10.8	1.5	5.6	15.1	1.7	1.0	5.9	124.9	4.7	0.0	1.6	1.7	5.203	5	3.98	-1.04	0.00	14	1													
15	15.0	5.9	10.1	0.7	2.3	19.9	7.2	20.3	1.4	6.9	2.3	0.0	0.0	1.8	101.5	12.4	0.7	2.8	1.9	3.808	3	2.88	-0.11	0.00	15	0													
17	9.8	29.0	18.2	51.1	5.8	12.8	0.0	33.1	16.7	46.0	9.7	80.8	18.3	3.8	6.3	11.8	312.7	12.1	3.0	8.4	31.6	17.478	11	13.28	2.28	17	1												
18	24.6	7.7	42.9	1.6	0.0	12.8	29.7	7.9	46.0	5.3	16.5	8.2	4.9	1.3	5.9	2.3	84.3	9.2	1.2	2.9	5.7	8.139	4.25	6.19	1.94	18	1												
20	3.8	19.3	3.8	12.5	1.0	6.0	23.3	4.6	20.3	2.4	15.8	9.5	0.1	0.2	7.0	364.1	12.6	6.3	31.3	1.1	8.736	7	6.64	-0.36	0.00	20	1												
24	33.2	28.2	14.9	6.8	2.0	0.0	38.5	19.8	17.3	6.5	69.6	15.8	0.1	0.0	15.8	437.7	12.9	8.8	31.3	11.5	17.668	8	13.43	5.43	5.43	24	1												
25	52.8	42.8	24.3	2.1	10.0	19.3	10.2	33.3	2.2	14.3	90.2	19.3	0.0	24.8	463.3	13.6	2.2	17.2	1.1	18.102	9	13.76	4.76	25	3														
26	15.9	1.3	9.6	0.9	4.7	16.7	3.4	8.0	2.6	16.8	20.0	1.2	11.3	8.9	320.4	15.6	1.4	3.5	4.2	7.591	7	5.77	-1.23	0.00	26	0													
27	12.3	10.8	18.8	0.3	2.8	9.2	6.7	22.3	1.1	4.6	20.9	16.3	11.5	4.8	160.7	6.9	0.6	2.7	1.8	6.825	5	5.04	0.04	0.04	27	0													
28	25.4	18.1	14.9	2.1	7.1	24.0	16.1	20.2	2.5	16.7	16.3	0.8	0.0	4.0	132.3	9.3	0.4	1.8	0.0	6.876	4	6.23	1.23	28	0														
30	16.3	1.9	2.3	0.2	0.0	44.6	14.4	35.0	3.3	22.3	32.9	7.5	9.4	8.9	227.5	5.4	0.8	2.5	9.5	10.014	7	7.61	0.61	0.61	30	1													
32	86.1	36.6	14.5	79.4	0.3	0.0	25.3	24.7	14.3	83.8	3.8	13.7	0.0	26.4	92.478	17.8	344.9	10.3	3.6	6.5	9.5	21.387	10	16.26	6.26	6.26	32	0											
33	184.7	12.0	6.5	8.3	1.2	1.7	9.1	16.7	6.5	25.4	4.3	25.8	6.2	24.6	0.8	16.0	14.4	218.5	5.2	6.3	0.1	3.9	20.067	8	15.26	7.26	7.26	33	1										
34	27.7	22.5	41.6	0.6	0.3	15.3	11.3	25.6	2.3	35.4	23.7	0.2	0.0	11.3	265.2	4.9	0.4	0.8	4.8	10.301	6	7.83	1.83	1.83	34	3													
35	32.0	4.4	13.0	1.6	20.1	20.2	2.2	6.9	5.4	23.7	57.8	5.0	5.1	19.1	317.8	8.9	29.0	0.3	1.9	2.5	13.081	8.5	9.94	1.44	1.44	35	2												
36	11.1	2.3	10.9	1.3	8.4	21.0	5.4	16.0	2.4	12.7	19.4	3.0	7.7	13.8	286.1	5.3	3.1	6.9	0.3	8.458	5	6.43	1.43	1.43	36	0													
37	122.5	19.9	13.2	33.3	0.9	0.0	6.6	2.8	9.8	2.3	15.5	0.0	13.4	2.0	0.0	7.8	127.8	6.0	0.0	0.2	3.8	13.732	6	10.44	4.44	4.44	37	2											
38	19.8	4.6	16.8	1.9	7.3	22.0	7.1	23.3	2.6	17.3	15.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	107.8	4.8	1.8	7.5	6.8	6.215	4	4.72	0.72	0.72	38	1												
39	11.3	2.0	3.6	0.3	0.8	19.3	6.8	22.1	1.8	14.4	27.3	0.2	0.0	15.2	384.3	11.5	4.9	11.0	0.0	8.134	6	6.94	0.94	0.94	39	0													
40	25.8	2.3	12.0	1.8	0.0	28.2	3.6	23.5	3.3	3.6	42.6	17.1	0.4	0.0	11.4	216.9	21.7	4.7	1.3	3.8	2.7	8.182	6	6.22	0.22	0.22	40	2											
41	12.0	7.1	25.3	1.0	1.8	7.3	3.2	19.8	1.8	7.6	12.5	4.7	8.3	5.8	114.4	1.5	0.9	2.8	1.1	5.262	3.5	4.02	0.52	0.52	41	0													
42	4.7	23.3	16.1	59.5	2.3	0.9	9.3	5.3	24.4	1.1	0.0	26.9	1.1	2.5	9.0	229.8	8.6	1.9	16.5	0.3	8.547	6	6.50	0.50	0.50	42	2												
43	15.1	5.5	16.2	0.7	9.2	13.8	5.8	18.5	1.9	21.7	6.7	0.0	0.0	6.3	183.7	2.4	3.2	7.8	0.5	6.239	4	4.74	0.74	0.74	43	0													
44	33.9	3.2	0.3	1.8	0.1	0.0	7.2	4.4	26.8	1.1	5.8	0.0	7.9	0.0	0.0	7.5	117.0	4.8	0.6	0.8	1.5	5.849	3	4.45	1.45	1.45	44	1											
45	20.6	4.6	14.5	2.2	2.6	11.5	3.3	12.2	1.2	16.0	0.0	26.3	1.6	4.5	9.6	169.5	7.2	6.6	1.2	4.1	3.7	6.741	5	5.12	0.12	0.12	45	2											
46	5.7	1.6	1.0	0.3	2.5	13.1	6.7	19.8	1.2	8.3	16.6	0.2	0.0	15.5	261.7	6.9	6.3	4.3	13.7	0.0	7.363	3	5.62	2.62	2.62	46	0												
Total	664	752	355	797	62	228	47	627	249	841	105	720	63	697	98	178	340	7,562	304	62	63	230	170	348,679	213.75	265.04	51.29	52.91	Totals										
55.35	20.88	9.86	22.14	1.72	6.32	7.86	17.41	6.91	23.37	2.91	19.99	7.89	19.35	2.72	4.95	9.44	210.05	8.43	6.88	1.76	6.39	4.72																	
Statewide Averages for each Workload Category																																							

## Section 13: CA/N Time Standards

---

In March 2005, the Supreme Court of Missouri issued an order adopting Court Operating Rule (COR) 23.01, Reporting Requirements for Child Abuse and Neglect Cases, effective July 1, 2005. This COR requires the presiding judge in each circuit to submit a quarterly report (CA/N Quarterly) to OSCA. The CA/N Quarterly Report lists all child abuse and neglect hearings where standards were not met during the quarter. These standards are based on the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 124.01, Rules of Practice and Procedure in Juvenile Divisions and Family Court Divisions of the Circuit, which states that the following hearings shall be held:

- 1) Within three days, excluding Saturday, Sunday and legal holidays, a protective custody hearing
- 2) Within 60 days, an adjudication hearing
- 3) Within 90 days, a dispositional hearing
- 4) Every 90 to 120 days after the dispositional hearing during the first 12 months in which the juvenile is in the custody of the children's division, a case review hearing
- 5) Within 12 months and at least annually thereafter, a permanency hearing
- 6) As often as necessary after each permanency hearing, but at least every six months, during the period in which the juvenile remains in the custody of the children's division, a permanency review hearing.

The data from each circuit are compiled into a final report and submitted to the Supreme Court Chief Justice and the Commission on Retirement, Removal and Discipline.

**Table 13-1 CA/N Hearings Held Timely**

In FY18, the juvenile and family divisions conducted the required CA/N hearings in a timely fashion. Thirty-nine divisions held 95% or more of their hearings on time; while at the statewide level, 96% of hearings were held timely.

Circuit	Hearings Held	Hearings Held Timely	Percent Held Timely
1	253	253	100%
2	586	586	100%
3	438	438	100%
4	304	304	100%
5	327	325	99%
6	94	94	100%
7	784	751	96%
8	52	52	100%
9	485	465	96%
10	462	408	88%
11	1,199	1,198	100%
12	835	829	99%
13	1,874	1,874	100%
14	556	555	100%
15	395	392	99%
16	7,000	6,069	87%
17	1,190	1,097	92%
18	392	391	100%
19	565	556	98%
20	1,336	1,238	93%
21	3,823	3,524	92%
22	2,541	2,528	99%
23	3,119	3,052	98%
24	2,041	2,035	100%
25	1,906	1,906	100%
26	1,398	1,397	100%
27	550	549	100%
28	531	526	99%
29	1,008	997	99%
30	911	909	100%
31	2,559	2,554	100%
32	1,673	1,646	98%
33	1,043	1,043	100%
34	948	933	98%
35	1,428	1,396	98%
36	1,150	1,022	89%
37	436	414	95%
38	664	664	100%
39	1,434	1,434	100%
40	842	754	90%
41	366	365	100%
42	994	969	97%
43	659	638	97%
44	489	489	100%
45	624	593	95%
46	473	473	100%
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>52,737</b>	<b>50,685</b>	<b>96%</b>



## **APPENDICES A-O**

### Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. With Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>219</b>
Clark	0	5	1	0	0	0	115	23	0	0	0	0	144
Schuyler	0	6	4	0	0	0	5	7	0	0	0	0	22
Scotland	0	7	2	0	0	0	18	26	0	0	0	0	53
<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>417</b>
Adair	0	81	14	0	2	2	15	30	49	15	38	11	257
Knox	0	0	5	2	0	0	25	23	10	1	6	1	73
Lewis	0	13	0	0	0	0	9	17	28	0	20	0	87
<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>392</b>
Grundy	0	28	11	0	0	0	11	6	56	2	20	15	149
Harrison	0	33	14	0	0	0	1	24	1	3	19	42	137
Mercer	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	8	6	1	6	7	35
Putnam	0	15	8	0	0	1	2	5	4	0	23	13	71
<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>543</b>
Atchison	0	10	1	0	0	1	10	17	0	0	2	6	47
Gentry	0	14	6	0	0	0	19	19	0	0	4	12	74
Holt	0	69	1	1	0	0	27	23	0	0	7	7	135
Nodaway	0	38	9	0	0	4	76	48	3	1	18	62	259
Worth	0	13	0	0	0	0	4	7	0	0	0	4	28
<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>1,441</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>2,045</b>
Andrew	0	3	2	0	0	0	14	42	27	2	5	16	111
Buchanan	1	98	123	0	2	3	90	1,399	77	15	63	63	1,934
<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>304</b>
Platte	0	38	17	0	0	0	29	124	47	16	1	32	304
<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>969</b>
Clay	2	143	24	1	0	21	184	195	144	71	17	167	969
<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>466</b>
Carroll	1	10	2	0	0	0	34	26	4	0	0	1	78
Ray	0	31	28	0	0	0	232	31	11	7	1	47	388
<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>335</b>
Chariton	0	42	12	0	2	1	22	17	46	5	0	13	160
Linn	0	33	11	0	0	0	13	14	21	1	6	16	115
Sullivan	0	13	6	1	1	0	23	5	5	0	0	6	60
<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>313</b>
Marion	2	33	9	0	0	2	39	66	19	2	10	14	196
Monroe	0	19	1	0	0	2	15	15	3	3	5	9	72
Ralls	0	10	5	0	0	2	7	12	5	3	0	1	45
<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>419</b>	<b>1,332</b>
St. Charles	9	143	52	0	1	16	109	367	104	107	5	419	1,332
<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>712</b>
Audrain	0	69	4	1	0	2	50	68	31	8	36	33	302
Montgomery	0	27	1	0	0	0	28	44	10	0	11	8	129
Warren	0	35	3	0	0	1	67	80	80	2	10	3	281
<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>1,754</b>
Boone	0	274	112	157	28	1	204	50	234	44	29	29	1,162
Callaway	0	2	240	0	0	17	79	16	153	31	40	14	592
<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>634</b>
Howard	0	29	2	0	0	0	5	21	64	7	18	39	185
Randolph	0	70	16	0	1	9	63	72	93	33	20	72	449
<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>521</b>
Lafayette	0	19	6	0	0	0	57	28	98	9	6	50	273
Saline	0	16	5	5	1	0	71	40	37	29	6	38	248
<b>16</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>1,152</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1,095</b>	<b>3,233</b>
Jackson	226	1,152	219	13	109	73	106	78	107	28	27	1,095	3,233

### Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. With Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>1,248</b>
Cass	0	87	133	1	3	3	213	167	217	18	2	37	881
Johnson	0	72	62	0	0	0	54	87	34	26	3	29	367
<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>794</b>
Cooper	0	17	13	0	2	0	42	99	98	7	2	16	296
Pettis	0	37	42	4	1	4	48	132	107	40	16	67	498
<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>726</b>
Cole	0	53	110	1	3	11	60	43	202	60	16	167	726
<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>739</b>
Franklin	1	93	50	0	0	0	90	47	157	25	23	195	681
Gasconade	0	5	0	0	0	0	6	3	10	3	1	13	41
Osage	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	9	17
<b>21</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>714</b>	<b>1,188</b>	<b>675</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1,951</b>	<b>6,383</b>
St. Louis Co.	190	390	148	263	275	37	524	714	1,188	675	28	1,951	6,383
<b>22</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>1,728</b>
St. Louis City	29	306	60	1	9	132	43	265	377	49	12	445	1,728
<b>23</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>2,069</b>
Jefferson	16	446	202	0	1	120	367	222	424	39	173	59	2,069
<b>24</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>552</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>1,178</b>
Madison	0	40	4	0	0	3	41	6	13	4	3	1	115
St. Francois	11	125	30	0	0	0	282	66	31	60	15	23	643
St. Genevieve	0	29	12	0	0	1	46	10	16	2	1	8	125
Washington	0	74	5	1	0	1	183	14	0	2	3	12	295
<b>25</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>773</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>2,006</b>
Maries	1	19	1	0	0	0	21	3	0	0	4	0	49
Phelps	11	119	11	0	0	17	261	47	0	18	135	34	653
Pulaski	0	94	17	0	0	1	287	29	0	13	213	40	694
Texas	4	52	2	0	0	2	204	33	0	10	298	5	610
<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>941</b>
Camden	0	41	11	0	0	0	21	19	68	8	38	60	266
Laclede	0	44	7	0	0	0	17	32	158	4	47	54	363
Miller	0	16	6	0	0	0	24	54	51	10	5	36	202
Moniteau	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	6	1	0	0	19	32
Morgan	0	4	2	2	0	0	4	13	25	3	0	25	78
<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>553</b>
Bates	0	14	6	0	0	0	127	63	38	3	9	1	261
Henry	0	44	8	0	1	0	94	38	18	14	11	4	232
St. Clair	0	6	4	0	0	0	15	16	12	2	5	0	60
<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>979</b>
Barton	0	13	9	0	0	0	83	57	9	0	4	2	177
Cedar	0	17	3	0	0	0	103	9	0	0	11	0	143
Dade	0	4	1	0	0	0	36	0	0	2	2	0	45
Vernon	0	87	40	0	0	0	81	38	300	18	42	8	614
<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>414</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>671</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>1,896</b>
Jasper	0	257	107	1	1	2	414	121	671	71	43	208	1,896
<b>30</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>1,198</b>
Benton	0	13	1	0	0	0	49	16	58	7	23	29	196
Dallas	0	28	7	0	0	0	28	20	32	2	7	21	145
Hickory	1	5	0	0	0	0	18	3	9	10	10	15	71
Polk	0	28	10	0	0	0	47	58	90	55	95	76	459
Webster	0	53	4	6	0	0	34	26	111	0	17	76	327
<b>31</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>1,117</b>
Greene	1	209	74	1	7	51	43	276	247	40	28	140	1,117
<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>498</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>1,212</b>
Bollinger	0	45	1	0	0	0	3	84	2	0	0	0	135
Cape Girardeau	0	131	25	0	1	0	46	191	300	13	47	71	825
Perry	0	18	0	0	0	0	1	223	0	0	2	8	252

### Appendix A: Total Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. With Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>33</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>705</b>
Mississippi	0	68	12	1	0	0	3	30	3	3	6	49	175
Scott	1	129	32	0	1	0	23	143	27	24	19	131	530
<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>862</b>
New Madrid	0	70	5	0	0	0	89	75	2	1	52	23	317
Pemiscot	0	87	2	0	0	0	134	154	2	0	102	64	545
<b>35</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>1,342</b>
Dunklin	6	83	24	0	2	29	19	1	341	6	7	39	557
Stoddard	0	111	17	0	0	47	0	97	156	8	293	56	785
<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>651</b>
Butler	0	112	54	1	0	0	36	62	97	2	7	100	471
Ripley	0	42	9	0	0	0	10	26	48	0	1	44	180
<b>37</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>522</b>
Carter	0	6	1	0	0	0	13	8	6	1	3	6	44
Howell	1	49	20	1	0	6	126	40	2	1	77	37	360
Oregon	0	25	5	0	1	1	8	7	2	0	8	2	59
Shannon	1	16	2	0	0	1	6	10	1	0	16	6	59
<b>38</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>711</b>
Christian	0	71	21	21	0	3	95	143	94	47	106	110	711
<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>515</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1,041</b>
Barry	0	65	6	0	0	0	36	23	279	2	50	6	467
Lawrence	0	68	9	0	1	2	34	30	156	5	29	20	354
Stone	0	55	1	3	0	0	20	8	80	13	33	7	220
<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>916</b>
McDonald	0	68	21	1	2	0	32	24	6	2	13	25	194
Newton	0	68	28	0	2	6	124	89	163	6	144	92	722
<b>41</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>397</b>
Macon	2	52	8	1	0	6	26	102	26	10	10	22	265
Shelby	0	20	12	0	0	2	33	47	2	0	5	11	132
<b>42</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>756</b>
Crawford	0	40	24	0	0	1	20	29	17	5	18	102	256
Dent	1	41	1	0	0	0	13	58	1	1	9	7	132
Iron	0	14	0	0	0	0	16	100	0	1	48	0	179
Reynolds	0	29	4	0	0	0	1	9	0	1	18	0	62
Wayne	0	17	0	0	0	0	8	30	4	0	33	35	127
<b>43</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>444</b>
Caldwell	0	17	2	0	0	0	18	4	14	2	0	2	59
Clinton	1	33	6	1	0	0	43	27	29	9	5	9	163
Daviess	0	11	3	0	0	0	4	9	4	0	0	1	32
DeKalb	0	17	2	0	0	0	1	17	34	7	0	3	81
Livingston	0	12	6	0	0	0	40	42	1	2	5	1	109
<b>44</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>230</b>
Douglas	0	18	2	0	0	14	9	19	6	0	3	1	72
Ozark	7	1	8	0	0	4	1	8	2	1	0	0	32
Wright	1	31	8	0	2	7	13	33	21	1	4	5	126
<b>45</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>741</b>
Lincoln	11	81	19	2	2	17	15	63	327	8	21	89	655
Pike	0	28	0	1	1	1	2	10	29	4	4	6	86
<b>46</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>436</b>
Taney	17	178	4	0	0	5	47	52	15	46	44	28	436
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>555</b>	<b>7,621</b>	<b>2,584</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>465</b>	<b>695</b>	<b>7,061</b>	<b>8,073</b>	<b>8,649</b>	<b>1,978</b>	<b>3,066</b>	<b>7,468</b>	<b>48,710</b>

### Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>
Clark	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Schuyler	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
Scotland	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	0	0	0	0	13
<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>124</b>
Adair	0	7	13	0	0	0	2	18	32	12	2	7	93
Knox	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	8	1	1	1	1	19
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	0	1	0	12
<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>67</b>
Grundy	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	2	3	1	0	3	16
Harrison	0	1	6	0	0	0	1	10	1	3	2	8	32
Mercer	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	1	0	3	12
Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	7
<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>48</b>
Atchison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3
Gentry	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	10
Holt	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	7
Nodaway	0	0	3	0	0	1	3	6	2	0	2	5	22
Worth	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>497</b>
Andrew	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	8	3	2	3	14	39
Buchanan	0	15	17	0	2	2	57	271	32	11	26	25	458
<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>201</b>
Platte	0	8	12	0	0	0	20	102	23	13	1	22	201
<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>535</b>
Clay	0	27	15	1	0	4	155	154	91	69	16	3	535
<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>140</b>
Carroll	1	2	1	0	0	0	8	11	0	0	0	0	23
Ray	0	9	12	0	0	0	49	10	0	3	1	33	117
<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>34</b>
Chariton	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	3	0	0	12
Linn	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	7	0	0	0	1	14
Sullivan	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	8
<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>111</b>
Marion	1	1	3	0	0	2	17	27	5	1	6	8	71
Monroe	0	5	0	0	0	2	4	5	0	3	3	6	28
Ralls	0	1	5	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	1	12
<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>806</b>
St. Charles	0	11	52	0	1	4	69	276	24	89	3	277	806
<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>175</b>
Audrain	0	10	2	0	0	1	16	28	7	5	4	12	85
Montgomery	0	4	1	0	0	0	2	12	0	0	0	2	21
Warren	0	5	1	0	0	1	18	24	14	0	4	2	69
<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>629</b>
Boone	0	93	40	51	12	1	108	23	71	9	8	15	431
Callaway	0	1	46	0	0	7	46	3	41	21	20	13	198
<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>132</b>
Howard	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	9	11	4	8	17	55
Randolph	0	8	6	0	1	1	5	9	15	13	1	18	77
<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>289</b>
Lafayette	0	4	4	0	0	0	40	23	56	5	4	36	172
Saline	0	5	5	5	0	0	29	24	10	11	4	24	117
<b>16</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>1,025</b>
Jackson	30	106	134	3	38	30	93	76	99	3	5	408	1,025
<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>451</b>
Cass	0	5	77	0	3	1	98	77	31	10	1	18	321
Johnson	0	15	29	0	0	0	17	35	3	21	2	8	130

### Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>373</b>
Cooper	0	9	12	0	2	0	19	35	33	7	1	9	127
Pettis	0	12	32	3	1	3	22	50	55	20	9	39	246
<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>229</b>
Cole	0	10	56	0	2	8	12	13	55	18	2	53	229
<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>352</b>
Franklin	0	9	44	0	0	0	47	25	46	23	14	118	326
Gasconade	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	4	3	1	11	25
Osage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>21</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1,036</b>	<b>3,035</b>
St. Louis Co.	27	38	75	61	100	14	405	387	455	425	12	1,036	3,035
<b>22</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>647</b>
St. Louis City	4	22	47	1	6	55	41	58	88	40	1	284	647
<b>23</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>796</b>
Jefferson	1	26	99	0	0	32	224	159	224	29	0	2	796
<b>24</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>637</b>
Madison	0	5	3	0	0	0	19	4	7	2	1	1	42
St. Francois	10	29	25	0	0	0	206	47	11	58	11	20	417
Ste. Genevieve	0	3	12	0	0	0	25	8	8	2	1	8	67
Washington	0	22	4	1	0	1	61	9	0	2	3	8	111
<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>244</b>
Maries	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Phelps	0	1	10	0	0	0	12	14	0	13	6	16	72
Pulaski	0	0	13	0	0	0	43	26	0	7	12	18	119
Texas	0	3	2	0	0	0	9	18	0	6	11	3	52
<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>229</b>
Camden	0	5	6	0	0	0	10	4	11	8	1	25	70
Laclede	0	4	6	0	0	0	9	11	15	2	4	30	81
Miller	0	3	5	0	0	0	3	11	1	4	1	15	43
Moniteau	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	6	12
Morgan	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	7	2	2	0	8	23
<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>120</b>
Bates	0	0	3	0	0	0	12	33	0	1	3	1	53
Henry	0	6	4	0	0	0	17	11	3	9	1	2	53
St. Clair	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	5	0	1	2	0	14
<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>354</b>
Barton	0	2	6	0	0	0	14	21	1	0	1	2	47
Cedar	0	1	1	0	0	0	32	2	0	0	3	0	39
Dade	0	1	1	0	0	0	13	0	0	2	2	0	19
Vernon	0	30	28	0	0	0	5	27	129	11	17	2	249
<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>767</b>
Jasper	0	40	50	1	0	2	202	48	229	49	19	127	767
<b>30</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>581</b>
Benton	0	8	1	0	0	0	24	12	25	5	7	16	98
Dallas	0	5	6	0	0	0	16	3	20	2	0	3	55
Hickory	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	3	3	3	3	10	32
Polk	0	1	10	0	0	0	30	44	38	38	13	47	221
Webster	0	5	4	5	0	0	21	17	85	0	6	32	175
<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>692</b>
Greene	0	30	65	1	5	36	31	239	137	34	6	108	692
<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>317</b>
Bollinger	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	18
Cape Girardeau	0	10	25	0	1	0	22	117	41	8	11	18	253
Perry	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	0	2	7	46
<b>33</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>222</b>
Mississippi	0	8	11	1	0	0	1	11	1	3	3	18	57
Scott	1	12	25	0	1	0	11	47	15	15	5	33	165

### Appendix B: Law Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>207</b>
New Madrid	0	1	5	0	0	0	13	26	1	1	0	6	53
Pemiscot	0	15	2	0	0	0	50	63	0	0	9	15	154
<b>35</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>259</b>
Dunklin	5	3	11	0	0	10	0	0	43	6	1	6	85
Stoddard	0	5	17	0	0	18	0	21	77	6	28	2	174
<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>282</b>
Butler	0	5	23	0	0	0	29	26	52	2	5	77	219
Ripley	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	17	9	0	0	30	63
<b>37</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>115</b>
Carter	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	2	9
Howell	1	2	16	1	0	4	26	12	1	0	11	16	90
Oregon	0	2	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	8
Shannon	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	8
<b>38</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>271</b>
Christian	0	16	13	0	0	0	25	72	50	24	28	43	271
<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>316</b>
Barry	0	0	6	0	0	0	20	18	60	0	6	4	114
Lawrence	0	1	7	0	1	0	21	26	52	3	2	6	119
Stone	0	3	1	2	0	0	12	8	37	11	5	4	83
<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>397</b>
McDonald	0	3	19	0	0	0	20	15	1	1	5	21	85
Newton	0	8	17	0	0	0	42	51	100	1	51	42	312
<b>41</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>88</b>
Macon	1	3	4	1	0	0	3	21	12	3	4	11	63
Shelby	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	2	0	25
<b>42</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>143</b>
Crawford	0	5	18	0	0	0	5	15	4	5	0	14	66
Dent	1	4	0	0	0	0	11	35	1	1	3	2	58
Iron	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Reynolds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	5
Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	1	2	11
<b>43</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>165</b>
Caldwell	0	0	2	0	0	0	8	2	6	0	0	1	19
Clinton	0	5	4	1	0	0	12	15	9	6	3	4	59
Daviess	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	5	2	0	0	1	14
DeKalb	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	10	11	5	0	2	32
Livingston	0	3	2	0	0	0	13	17	0	1	5	0	41
<b>44</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>94</b>
Douglas	0	2	1	0	0	0	5	18	2	0	1	1	30
Ozark	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	7	2	1	0	0	14
Wright	1	1	2	0	0	0	3	29	9	1	3	1	50
<b>45</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>160</b>
Lincoln	2	2	7	0	2	2	10	23	28	4	17	31	128
Pike	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	13	2	2	5	32
<b>46</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>151</b>
Taney	0	9	4	0	0	1	27	41	3	31	17	18	151
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>819</b>	<b>1,407</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>2,895</b>	<b>3,515</b>	<b>2,912</b>	<b>1,282</b>	<b>537</b>	<b>3,501</b>	<b>17,524</b>

### Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>94</b>
Clark	0	0	1	0	0	0	52	11	0	0	0	0	64
Schuyler	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	5
Scotland	0	3	0	0	0	0	11	11	0	0	0	0	25
<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>134</b>
Adair	0	1	1	0	0	0	11	12	13	3	15	1	57
Knox	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	15	8	0	0	0	41
Lewis	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	18	0	5	0	36
<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>69</b>
Grundy	0	0	3	0	0	0	7	4	10	1	3	10	38
Harrison	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	8	4	21
Mercer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
Putnam	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	6
<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>175</b>
Atchison	0	2	0	0	0	1	10	13	0	0	0	2	28
Gentry	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	7	0	0	0	0	13
Holt	0	0	0	1	0	0	11	13	0	0	0	1	26
Nodaway	0	0	5	0	0	0	44	35	0	1	5	11	101
Worth	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	2	7
<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>323</b>
Andrew	0	1	2	0	0	0	6	3	24	0	2	0	38
Buchanan	0	23	21	0	0	1	32	135	44	4	19	6	285
<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>76</b>
Platte	0	7	4	0	0	0	9	22	24	1	0	9	76
<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>110</b>
Clay	0	9	6	0	0	1	13	39	39	2	1	0	110
<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>155</b>
Carroll	0	1	1	0	0	0	18	12	2	0	0	1	35
Ray	0	12	15	0	0	0	65	11	2	3	0	12	120
<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>214</b>
Chariton	0	12	11	0	0	0	21	14	42	2	0	12	114
Linn	0	6	6	0	0	0	9	6	20	1	5	11	64
Sullivan	0	1	3	1	1	0	16	3	5	0	0	6	36
<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>129</b>
Marion	1	4	6	0	0	0	17	38	14	1	4	5	90
Monroe	0	1	1	0	0	0	8	9	2	0	2	2	25
Ralls	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	2	2	0	0	14
<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>319</b>
St. Charles	0	4	0	0	0	0	36	88	80	12	2	97	319
<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>386</b>
Audrain	0	5	1	0	0	1	34	40	24	2	19	20	146
Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	29	10	0	1	3	69
Warren	0	5	1	0	0	0	45	50	65	2	2	1	171
<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>824</b>
Boone	0	44	71	91	16	0	89	27	156	34	21	12	561
Callaway	0	1	64	0	0	10	33	13	111	10	20	1	263
<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>300</b>
Howard	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	12	41	1	6	13	80
Randolph	0	19	4	0	0	1	44	55	54	6	10	27	220
<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>206</b>
Lafayette	0	5	1	0	0	0	17	5	38	4	2	14	86
Saline	0	2	0	0	0	0	42	16	27	18	2	13	120
<b>16</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>70</b>
Jackson	2	5	0	0	10	2	8	1	4	0	1	37	70
<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>394</b>
Cass	0	5	23	1	0	1	106	79	30	8	0	8	261
Johnson	0	7	23	0	0	0	31	47	12	5	0	8	133



### Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>346</b>
Cooper	0	0	1	0	0	0	22	58	58	0	0	7	146
Pettis	0	3	10	1	0	1	25	63	52	19	7	19	200
<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>234</b>
Cole	0	11	22	1	1	2	39	17	44	23	8	66	234
<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>269</b>
Franklin	0	9	5	0	0	0	43	21	86	2	7	73	246
Gasconade	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	0	0	2	12
Osage	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	8	11
<b>21</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>602</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>638</b>	<b>1,898</b>
St. Louis Co.	5	4	3	48	11	0	84	285	602	202	16	638	1,898
<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>322</b>
St. Louis City	0	0	2	0	1	3	2	94	120	2	1	97	322
<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>446</b>
Jefferson	0	7	40	0	0	11	129	63	183	10	1	2	446
<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>308</b>
Madison	0	0	1	0	0	0	17	2	6	1	2	0	29
St. Francois	0	6	0	0	0	0	73	10	20	2	4	3	118
Ste. Genevieve	0	1	0	0	0	0	19	2	8	0	0	0	30
Washington	0	2	1	0	0	0	122	4	0	0	0	2	131
<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>662</b>
Maries	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	3	0	0	0	0	22
Phelps	0	8	1	0	0	2	191	33	0	3	5	10	253
Pulaski	0	4	3	0	0	0	127	3	0	6	9	15	167
Texas	0	7	0	0	0	0	140	15	0	2	56	0	220
<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>268</b>
Camden	0	5	3	0	0	0	7	7	26	0	16	19	83
Laclede	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	9	47	2	13	8	88
Miller	0	1	1	0	0	0	13	21	9	2	4	11	62
Moniteau	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	11	17
Morgan	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	1	0	10	18
<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>172</b>
Bates	0	0	1	0	0	0	49	17	3	1	6	0	77
Henry	0	4	2	0	0	0	36	18	2	5	7	2	76
St. Clair	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	10	0	1	1	0	19
<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>387</b>
Barton	0	4	2	0	0	0	49	36	1	0	2	0	94
Cedar	0	2	0	0	0	0	35	6	0	0	7	0	50
Dade	0	2	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	10
Vernon	0	27	12	0	0	0	17	11	137	6	18	5	233
<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>639</b>
Jasper	0	28	24	0	0	0	107	37	330	22	14	77	639
<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>204</b>
Benton	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	22	1	2	6	34
Dallas	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	8	0	2	1	16
Hickory	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	6	2	3	17
Polk	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	38	17	15	14	92
Webster	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	6	22	0	2	9	45
<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>207</b>
Greene	0	5	6	0	0	3	12	37	109	6	2	27	207
<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>563</b>
Bollinger	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	52	2	0	0	0	57
Cape Girardeau	0	3	0	0	0	0	24	59	259	3	18	29	395
Perry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	0	0	0	1	111
<b>33</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>88</b>
Mississippi	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	3	7
Scott	0	1	4	0	0	0	4	34	9	9	3	17	81

**Appendix C: Status Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County**

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>364</b>
New Madrid	0	2	0	0	0	0	73	48	1	0	5	15	144
Pemiscot	0	1	0	0	0	0	83	90	2	0	12	32	220
<b>35</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>299</b>
Dunklin	1	0	8	0	0	4	1	0	115	0	0	0	129
Stoddard	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	47	53	0	55	12	170
<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>134</b>
Butler	0	1	17	0	0	0	1	16	28	0	2	23	88
Ripley	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	4	21	0	1	13	46
<b>37</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>229</b>
Carter	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	3	6	0	1	4	26
Howell	0	3	4	0	0	1	82	28	1	0	23	21	163
Oregon	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	6	2	0	4	2	21
Shannon	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	1	0	2	2	19
<b>38</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>242</b>
Christian	0	6	3	0	0	1	68	57	40	14	36	17	242
<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>162</b>
Barry	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	3	20	2	9	0	47
Lawrence	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	4	42	2	12	2	68
Stone	0	1	0	1	0	0	8	0	27	2	7	1	47
<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>309</b>
McDonald	0	4	2	1	0	0	12	9	5	0	5	1	39
Newton	0	2	2	0	0	0	79	38	54	3	67	25	270
<b>41</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>152</b>
Macon	1	8	2	0	0	0	18	42	13	1	4	6	95
Shelby	0	0	1	0	0	0	24	28	1	0	2	1	57
<b>42</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>287</b>
Crawford	0	6	6	0	0	1	15	14	12	0	2	23	79
Dent	0	7	1	0	0	0	2	23	0	0	6	0	39
Iron	0	1	0	0	0	0	16	100	0	1	6	0	124
Reynolds	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	3	0	15
Wayne	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	19	2	0	2	3	30
<b>43</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>195</b>
Caldwell	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	8	2	0	1	23
Clinton	0	1	2	0	0	0	31	12	20	2	2	3	73
Daviess	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	0	0	0	7
DeKalb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	23	2	0	1	33
Livingston	0	1	4	0	0	0	27	25	1	1	0	0	59
<b>44</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>41</b>
Douglas	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4	0	2	0	11
Ozark	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Wright	0	1	1	0	0	0	10	4	12	0	0	0	28
<b>45</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>262</b>
Lincoln	0	4	10	0	0	8	5	27	142	3	3	35	237
Pike	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	2	2	0	25
<b>46</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>78</b>
Taney	0	3	0	0	0	1	20	3	10	13	20	8	78
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>491</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>3,004</b>	<b>2,740</b>	<b>3,827</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>701</b>	<b>1,797</b>	<b>13,745</b>

**Appendix D: CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County**

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>108</b>
Clark	0	5	0	0	0	0	63	11	0	0	0	0	79
Schuyler	0	6	2	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	14
Scotland	0	4	2	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	15
<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>159</b>
Adair	0	73	0	0	2	2	2	0	4	0	21	3	107
Knox	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	1	0	5	0	13
Lewis	0	13	0	0	0	0	4	2	6	0	14	0	39
<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>256</b>
Grundy	0	27	5	0	0	0	1	0	43	0	17	2	95
Harrison	0	32	6	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	9	30	84
Mercer	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	6	3	19
Putnam	0	14	8	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	22	11	58
<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>317</b>
Atchison	0	8	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2	2	16
Gentry	0	14	0	0	0	0	12	10	0	0	4	8	48
Holt	0	68	1	0	0	0	15	6	0	0	7	5	102
Nodaway	0	38	1	0	0	3	29	7	1	0	11	46	136
Worth	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	15
<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>112</b>
Andrew	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Buchanan	0	53	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	30	110
<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>24</b>
Platte	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	24
<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>311</b>
Clay	2	99	1	0	0	16	15	2	12	0	0	164	311
<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>170</b>
Carroll	0	7	0	0	0	0	8	3	2	0	0	0	20
Ray	0	9	1	0	0	0	118	10	9	1	0	2	150
<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>87</b>
Chariton	0	27	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	34
Linn	0	25	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	4	37
Sullivan	0	12	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	16
<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>73</b>
Marion	0	28	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	35
Monroe	0	13	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	1	19
Ralls	0	9	0	0	0	2	4	1	3	0	0	0	19
<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>198</b>
St. Charles	8	123	0	0	0	9	4	3	0	6	0	45	198
<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>151</b>
Audrain	0	54	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	1	71
Montgomery	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	10	3	39
Warren	0	25	1	0	0	0	4	6	1	0	4	0	41
<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>301</b>
Boone	0	137	1	15	0	0	7	0	7	1	0	2	170
Callaway	0	0	130	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	131
<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>199</b>
Howard	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	2	4	9	50
Randolph	0	42	4	0	0	7	14	8	24	14	9	27	149
<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>26</b>
Lafayette	0	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	15
Saline	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11
<b>16</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>976</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>546</b>	<b>1,891</b>
Jackson	184	976	62	8	52	32	2	0	3	6	20	546	1,891
<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>386</b>
Cass	0	75	23	0	0	1	9	11	154	0	1	11	285
Johnson	0	49	8	0	0	0	6	5	19	0	1	13	101

**Appendix D: CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County**

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>71</b>
Cooper	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	6	7	0	1	0	23
Pettis	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	9	48
<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>257</b>
Cole	0	32	28	0	0	1	9	13	101	19	6	48	257
<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>116</b>
Franklin	0	74	1	0	0	0	0	1	25	0	2	4	107
Gasconade	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Osage	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
<b>21</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>1,204</b>
St. Louis Co.	135	331	47	109	106	15	35	41	79	46	0	260	1,204
<b>22</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>702</b>
St. Louis City	19	268	2	0	2	62	0	113	169	7	0	60	702
<b>23</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>714</b>
Jefferson	11	394	31	0	1	41	5	0	4	0	172	55	714
<b>24</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>195</b>
Madison	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
St. Francois	1	83	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	85
Ste. Genevieve	0	23	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
Washington	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	53
<b>25</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>551</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1,100</b>
Maries	1	19	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	26
Phelps	11	110	0	0	0	15	58	0	0	2	124	8	328
Pulaski	0	90	1	0	0	1	117	0	0	0	192	7	408
Texas	4	42	0	0	0	2	55	0	0	2	231	2	338
<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>443</b>
Camden	0	31	1	0	0	0	4	8	31	0	21	16	112
Laclede	0	38	1	0	0	0	1	12	96	0	30	16	194
Miller	0	12	0	0	0	0	8	22	41	4	0	10	97
Moniteau	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
Morgan	0	3	2	0	0	0	1	3	21	0	0	7	37
<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>261</b>
Bates	0	14	2	0	0	0	66	13	35	1	0	0	131
Henry	0	34	2	0	1	0	41	9	13	0	3	0	103
St. Clair	0	6	1	0	0	0	5	1	12	0	2	0	27
<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>203</b>
Barton	0	5	0	0	0	0	11	0	7	0	1	0	24
Cedar	0	14	0	0	0	0	34	1	0	0	1	0	50
Dade	0	1	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	16
Vernon	0	28	0	0	0	0	48	0	31	0	6	0	113
<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>480</b>
Jasper	0	183	29	0	1	0	105	36	112	0	10	4	480
<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>412</b>
Benton	0	5	0	0	0	0	22	4	11	1	14	7	64
Dallas	0	22	0	0	0	0	9	17	4	0	5	17	74
Hickory	0	5	0	0	0	0	8	0	1	1	5	2	22
Polk	0	27	0	0	0	0	11	12	14	0	67	15	146
Webster	0	47	0	1	0	0	8	2	4	0	9	35	106
<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>216</b>
Greene	0	174	3	0	2	12	0	0	0	0	20	5	216
<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>332</b>
Bollinger	0	44	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	60
Cape Girardeau	0	118	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	2	18	24	177
Perry	0	17	0	0	0	0	1	77	0	0	0	0	95
<b>33</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>333</b>
Mississippi	0	58	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	2	28	95
Scott	0	109	3	0	0	0	1	33	0	0	11	81	238

**Appendix D: CA/N Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County**

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>291</b>
New Madrid	0	67	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	47	2	120
Pemiscot	0	71	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	81	17	171
<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>782</b>
Dunklin	0	80	4	0	2	15	18	1	183	0	6	33	342
Stoddard	0	104	0	0	0	28	0	29	25	2	210	42	440
<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>235</b>
Butler	0	106	14	1	0	0	6	20	17	0	0	0	164
Ripley	0	41	2	0	0	0	4	5	18	0	0	1	71
<b>37</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>178</b>
Carter	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	9
Howell	0	44	0	0	0	1	18	0	0	1	43	0	107
Oregon	0	22	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	30
Shannon	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	3	32
<b>38</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>184</b>
Christian	0	42	0	21	0	2	2	12	4	9	42	50	184
<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>562</b>
Barry	0	65	0	0	0	0	3	2	199	0	35	2	306
Lawrence	0	67	0	0	0	2	8	0	62	0	15	12	166
Stone	0	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	21	2	90
<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>207</b>
McDonald	0	61	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	70
Newton	0	57	9	0	2	6	1	0	9	2	26	25	137
<b>41</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>148</b>
Macon	0	41	0	0	0	6	2	37	0	6	2	5	99
Shelby	0	20	0	0	0	2	9	6	1	0	1	10	49
<b>42</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>326</b>
Crawford	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	65	111
Dent	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	35
Iron	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	0	52
Reynolds	0	24	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	12	0	42
Wayne	0	16	0	0	0	0	5	4	1	0	30	30	86
<b>43</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>80</b>
Caldwell	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
Clinton	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	30
Daviess	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
DeKalb	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Livingston	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9
<b>44</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>95</b>
Douglas	0	16	1	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Ozark	7	1	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Wright	0	29	5	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	1	4	48
<b>45</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>313</b>
Lincoln	8	72	1	2	0	6	0	13	157	1	1	23	284
Pike	0	26	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	29
<b>46</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>207</b>
Taney	17	166	0	0	0	3	0	8	2	2	7	2	207
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>6,210</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>1,102</b>	<b>737</b>	<b>1,828</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>1,816</b>	<b>2,039</b>	<b>15,416</b>

# Appendix E: Administrative Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
Gentry	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,024</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1,113</b>
Andrew	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	1	32
Buchanan	1	7	76	0	0	0	1	993	1	0	0	2	1,081
<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Platte	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
Clay	0	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Ray	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>
St. Charles	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Randolph	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
<b>16</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>247</b>
Jackson	10	65	23	2	9	9	3	1	1	19	1	104	247
<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>
Cass	0	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	14
Johnson	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>
Pettis	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	4
<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>
Cole	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	6
<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Franklin	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>194</b>
St. Louis Co.	23	17	23	45	58	8	0	1	0	2	0	17	194
<b>22</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>57</b>
St. Louis City	6	16	9	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	10	4	57
<b>23</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>113</b>
Jefferson	4	19	32	0	0	36	9	0	13	0	0	0	113
<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>
Madison	0	2	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	11
St. Francois	0	7	4	0	0	0	2	9	0	0	0	0	22
Ste. Genevieve	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Camden	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>35</b>
Barton	0	2	1	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	12
Cedar	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
Vernon	0	2	0	0	0	0	11	0	3	1	1	1	19
<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>
Jasper	0	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>33</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>62</b>
Mississippi	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	12	0	0	0	0	16
Scott	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	29	3	0	0	0	46
<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Dunklin	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Stoddard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>38</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>
Christian	0	7	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	14
<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Lawrence	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

### Appendix E: Administrative Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Missing	True Out of Home	True In Home	True No Service	Not True	Dismiss	Inf. w/o Sup	Inf. with Sup	No Action	Trans. Other Court	Trans. Other Agency	Reject	Total
<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Newton	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
<b>41</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>
Macon	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	8
Shelby	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>43</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
DeKalb	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
<b>45</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>
Lincoln	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>1,080</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>1,964</b>

### Appendix F: Type of Referrals by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Misc.	People	Property	Peace Disturb	Substance	Status	CA/N	Total
<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>219</b>
Clark	0	1	0	0	0	64	79	144
Schuyler	1	2	0	0	0	5	14	22
Scotland	3	5	5	0	0	25	15	53
<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>419</b>
Adair	4	26	52	6	7	57	107	259
Knox	3	10	2	1	3	41	13	73
Lewis	0	4	2	6	0	36	39	87
<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>393</b>
Grundy	0	4	12	0	0	38	95	149
Harrison	8	12	10	0	2	21	84	137
Mercer	2	4	3	1	3	4	19	36
Putnam	2	2	2	1	0	6	58	71
<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>540</b>
Atchison	2	0	0	0	1	28	16	47
Gentry	3	2	3	0	2	13	48	71
Holt	0	2	3	0	2	26	102	135
Nodaway	1	6	9	1	5	101	136	259
Worth	0	2	4	0	0	7	15	28
<b>5</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>936</b>
Andrew	7	22	5	2	4	38	2	80
Buchanan	286	67	63	11	34	285	110	856
<b>6</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>301</b>
Platte	19	58	86	14	24	76	24	301
<b>7</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>957</b>
Clay	43	187	175	20	111	110	311	957
<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>466</b>
Carroll	1	13	8	0	1	35	20	78
Ray	7	54	25	8	24	120	150	388
<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>335</b>
Chariton	1	5	2	0	4	114	34	160
Linn	1	2	10	0	1	64	37	115
Sullivan	1	2	4	0	1	36	16	60
<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>314</b>
Marion	3	20	16	24	9	90	35	197
Monroe	4	10	8	3	3	25	19	72
Ralls	4	4	3	0	1	14	19	45
<b>11</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>1,325</b>
St. Charles	41	246	323	49	149	319	198	1,325
<b>12</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>712</b>
Audrain	13	32	32	1	7	146	71	302
Montgomery	3	8	5	3	2	69	39	129
Warren	6	16	29	2	16	171	41	281
<b>13</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>824</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>1,754</b>
Boone	44	164	147	36	40	561	170	1,162
Callaway	21	72	46	29	30	263	131	592
<b>14</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>631</b>
Howard	2	12	12	22	7	80	50	185
Randolph	0	34	16	18	9	220	149	446
<b>15</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>521</b>
Lafayette	44	76	30	12	10	86	15	273
Saline	7	62	30	10	8	120	11	248
<b>16</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>1,891</b>	<b>3,006</b>
Jackson	51	430	387	97	80	70	1,891	3,006
<b>17</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>1,231</b>
Cass	18	143	110	7	43	261	285	867
Johnson	20	55	32	7	16	133	101	364



### Appendix F: Type of Referrals by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Misc.	People	Property	Peace Disturb	Substance	Status	CA/N	Total
<b>18</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>791</b>
Cooper	11	54	31	17	15	146	23	297
Pettis	24	83	88	16	35	200	48	494
<b>19</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>721</b>
Cole	21	81	83	12	33	234	257	721
<b>20</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>737</b>
Franklin	25	95	143	11	52	246	107	679
Gasconade	1	14	5	3	2	12	4	41
Osage	1	0	0	0	0	11	5	17
<b>21</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>1,023</b>	<b>1,260</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>1,898</b>	<b>1,204</b>	<b>6,152</b>
St. Louis Co.	302	1,023	1,260	132	333	1,898	1,204	6,152
<b>22</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>1,691</b>
St. Louis City	61	232	305	27	42	322	702	1,691
<b>23</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>714</b>	<b>2,016</b>
Jefferson	201	322	185	24	124	446	714	2,016
<b>24</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>1,141</b>
Madison	0	25	10	4	3	29	33	104
St. Francois	34	233	75	49	27	118	85	621
Ste. Genevieve	3	36	19	6	3	30	24	121
Washington	8	69	14	15	5	131	53	295
<b>25</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>662</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>2,007</b>
Maries	0	0	0	0	1	22	26	49
Phelps	3	22	40	1	6	253	328	653
Pulaski	30	45	21	13	11	167	408	695
Texas	4	9	30	2	7	220	338	610
<b>26</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>443</b>	<b>940</b>
Camden	5	17	30	1	17	83	112	265
Laclede	11	31	26	3	10	88	194	363
Miller	6	15	13	0	9	62	97	202
Moniteau	0	3	8	1	0	17	3	32
Morgan	3	11	5	1	3	18	37	78
<b>27</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>553</b>
Bates	14	20	14	0	5	77	131	261
Henry	13	12	21	1	6	76	103	232
St. Clair	1	4	5	3	1	19	27	60
<b>28</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>944</b>
Barton	6	26	7	2	6	94	24	165
Cedar	5	18	10	2	4	50	50	139
Dade	1	7	9	0	2	10	16	45
Vernon	18	90	96	18	27	233	113	595
<b>29</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>258</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>639</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>1,888</b>
Jasper	210	187	258	68	46	639	480	1,888
<b>30</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>1,197</b>
Benton	6	43	17	12	20	34	64	196
Dallas	7	22	22	1	3	16	74	145
Hickory	2	18	5	3	4	17	22	71
Polk	16	96	60	16	33	92	146	459
Webster	19	93	44	10	9	45	106	326
<b>31</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>1,115</b>
Greene	32	281	246	30	103	207	216	1,115
<b>32</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>1,212</b>
Bollinger	1	3	8	2	4	57	60	135
Cape Girardeau	20	114	75	13	31	395	177	825
Perry	4	17	8	0	17	111	95	252
<b>33</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>644</b>
Mississippi	3	19	20	14	2	7	95	160
Scott	16	46	41	37	25	81	238	484

### Appendix F: Type of Referrals by Circuit and County

Circuit/County	Misc.	People	Property	Peace Disturb	Substance	Status	CA/N	Total
<b>34</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>862</b>
New Madrid	2	6	19	14	12	144	120	317
Pemiscot	10	36	42	43	23	220	171	545
<b>35</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>782</b>	<b>1,340</b>
Dunklin	13	28	25	14	5	129	342	556
Stoddard	13	63	37	43	18	170	440	784
<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>651</b>
Butler	27	89	55	13	35	88	164	471
Ripley	10	28	15	8	2	46	71	180
<b>37</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>522</b>
Carter	1	4	1	0	3	26	9	44
Howell	16	20	37	5	12	163	107	360
Oregon	0	4	3	1	0	21	30	59
Shannon	4	3	1	0	0	19	32	59
<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>700</b>
Christian	37	104	83	16	34	242	184	700
<b>39</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>562</b>	<b>1,041</b>
Barry	35	40	27	3	9	47	306	467
Lawrence	24	47	29	4	16	68	166	354
Stone	2	42	22	6	11	47	90	220
<b>40</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>916</b>
McDonald	15	11	27	9	23	39	70	194
Newton	41	97	90	41	46	270	137	722
<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>388</b>
Macon	29	20	9	4	1	95	99	257
Shelby	5	13	5	0	2	57	49	131
<b>42</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>756</b>
Crawford	4	21	32	4	5	79	111	256
Dent	13	26	14	0	5	39	35	132
Iron	0	3	0	0	0	124	52	179
Reynolds	1	2	1	0	1	15	42	62
Wayne	1	4	2	1	3	30	86	127
<b>43</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>442</b>
Caldwell	1	11	4	2	1	23	17	59
Clinton	8	25	15	2	9	73	30	162
Daviess	0	2	10	1	1	7	11	32
DeKalb	4	9	2	13	6	33	13	80
Livingston	6	11	20	1	3	59	9	109
<b>44</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>230</b>
Douglas	3	11	9	0	7	11	31	72
Ozark	1	3	7	2	1	2	16	32
Wright	8	10	6	5	21	28	48	126
<b>45</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>313</b>	<b>735</b>
Lincoln	11	47	46	14	10	237	284	649
Pike	3	17	11	0	1	25	29	86
<b>46</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>436</b>
Taney	8	46	66	2	29	78	207	436
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>2,180</b>	<b>6,315</b>	<b>5,840</b>	<b>1,232</b>	<b>2,100</b>	<b>13,745</b>	<b>15,416</b>	<b>46,828</b>

### Appendix G: Law Referrals by Level, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Felony A	Felony B	Felony C	Felony D	Felony E	Felony U	Infraction	Misd. A	Misd. B	Misd. C	Misd. D	Misd. U	Ordinance	Total
<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>17</b>
Clark	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Schuyler	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Scotland	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	3	13
<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>126</b>
Adair	1	0	2	13	10	0	2	16	30	11	10	0	0	95
Knox	0	0	0	2	5	1	0	7	0	1	0	3	0	19
Lewis	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	6	2	0	0	0	12
<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>68</b>
Grundy	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	16
Harrison	0	0	2	5	4	0	0	14	4	1	0	1	1	32
Mercer	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	2	1	1	2	2	0	13
Putnam	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	7
<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>48</b>
Atchison	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3
Gentry	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	3	2	0	0	10
Holt	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	1	0	0	7
Nodaway	1	0	2	3	0	0	1	5	0	2	7	1	0	22
Worth	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	6
<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>497</b>
Andrew	0	1	1	3	6	1	1	12	7	5	0	1	1	39
Buchanan	4	5	3	25	27	2	6	123	15	7	12	1	228	458
<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>201</b>
Platte	0	5	2	14	7	1	0	75	27	31	38	1	0	201
<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>536</b>
Clay	5	12	23	28	18	15	3	262	53	34	70	7	6	536
<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>141</b>
Carroll	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	11	6	2	0	0	1	23
Ray	0	2	1	5	3	0	0	39	14	36	14	2	2	118
<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>34</b>
Chariton	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	3	0	2	2	0	0	12
Linn	0	0	5	2	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	14
Sullivan	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	1	8
<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>112</b>
Marion	0	1	1	10	4	0	2	29	15	4	4	1	1	72
Monroe	0	0	1	2	2	4	0	6	7	0	1	3	2	28
Ralls	0	0	0	2	3	1	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	12
<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>808</b>
St. Charles	8	14	10	57	31	14	3	380	103	36	138	6	8	808
<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>175</b>
Audrain	3	1	3	9	9	2	1	30	14	2	4	0	7	85
Montgomery	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	8	2	3	1	0	1	21
Warren	1	3	6	4	4	0	4	28	8	2	6	1	2	69
<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>629</b>
Boone	6	5	5	38	26	3	0	163	68	83	34	0	0	431
Callaway	2	3	4	17	16	3	0	65	38	18	29	2	1	198
<b>14</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>132</b>
Howard	0	0	1	5	3	0	0	30	10	4	2	0	0	55
Randolph	3	0	4	2	8	0	1	17	23	14	5	0	0	77
<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>289</b>
Lafayette	1	2	7	12	31	2	8	27	18	28	5	25	6	172
Saline	1	1	3	8	10	1	0	46	24	17	6	0	0	117
<b>16</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,045</b>
Jackson	71	82	64	169	100	49	4	311	126	37	28	4	0	1,045
<b>17</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>451</b>
Cass	2	5	10	33	24	4	1	78	45	77	41	0	1	321
Johnson	1	6	3	25	9	1	5	47	8	13	9	0	3	130

### Appendix G: Law Referrals by Level, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Felony A	Felony B	Felony C	Felony D	Felony E	Felony U	Infraction	Misd. A	Misd. B	Misd. C	Misd. D	Misd. U	Ordinance	Total
<b>18</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>374</b>
Cooper	0	1	0	0	7	1	4	51	25	20	18	1	0	128
Pettis	5	6	7	37	14	0	1	107	29	11	27	2	0	246
<b>19</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>230</b>
Cole	5	2	4	18	17	1	0	88	25	16	51	2	1	230
<b>20</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>352</b>
Franklin	3	5	4	28	27	1	5	101	76	21	43	10	2	326
Gasconade	0	1	1	1	4	1	0	6	5	4	2	0	0	25
Osage	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>21</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1,584</b>	<b>525</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3,049</b>
St. Louis Co.	44	79	164	311	63	53	21	1,584	525	45	120	23	17	3,049
<b>22</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>655</b>
St. Louis City	44	22	28	94	29	29	2	283	60	24	24	2	14	655
<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>796</b>
Jefferson	14	34	25	101	49	12	5	379	70	57	23	13	14	796
<b>24</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>638</b>
Madison	1	3	1	2	9	0	0	19	4	1	2	0	0	42
St. Francois	8	4	1	33	25	2	1	157	49	120	18	0	0	418
Ste. Genevieve	2	1	0	2	2	0	1	30	19	6	2	0	2	67
Washington	2	1	0	3	6	3	1	35	23	36	1	0	0	111
<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>245</b>
Maries	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Phelps	0	2	0	6	1	0	0	26	16	11	10	0	0	72
Pulaski	0	0	2	5	4	2	3	48	7	33	9	0	7	120
Texas	1	2	0	5	4	0	0	15	8	2	14	1	0	52
<b>26</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>229</b>
Camden	2	0	3	5	10	1	1	22	7	4	14	1	0	70
Laclede	4	5	2	4	5	0	0	40	7	4	8	1	1	81
Miller	0	0	0	9	2	1	2	7	1	7	13	0	1	43
Moniteau	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	5	0	0	0	0	12
Morgan	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	9	3	0	3	0	1	23
<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>120</b>
Bates	0	2	0	8	0	1	2	21	5	4	1	1	8	53
Henry	0	0	5	6	1	0	1	17	6	2	7	0	8	53
St. Clair	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	4	2	3	0	0	1	14
<b>28</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>354</b>
Barton	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	13	4	11	7	0	1	47
Cedar	3	0	3	8	3	1	3	6	3	8	1	0	0	39
Dade	0	3	0	4	4	0	0	3	2	1	1	1	0	19
Vernon	22	3	3	39	29	0	19	62	13	31	18	6	4	249
<b>29</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>769</b>
Jasper	12	14	5	71	44	2	8	274	105	31	40	126	37	769
<b>30</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>581</b>
Benton	1	1	0	8	9	0	0	59	6	4	5	3	2	98
Dallas	2	0	1	7	3	0	3	17	1	15	3	0	3	55
Hickory	1	0	1	3	1	1	0	17	3	1	4	0	0	32
Polk	0	2	0	23	10	0	0	108	43	11	23	0	1	221
Webster	5	4	4	21	20	1	1	73	20	21	3	0	2	175
<b>31</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>692</b>
Greene	3	8	37	47	64	11	2	350	69	20	58	20	3	692
<b>32</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>317</b>
Bollinger	1	0	0	3	2	0	1	4	1	0	4	2	0	18
Cape Girardeau	4	4	5	26	17	1	3	71	42	45	27	4	4	253
Perry	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	15	1	14	5	3	3	46
<b>33</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>223</b>
Mississippi	0	0	0	5	5	0	1	13	25	9	0	0	0	58
Scott	2	2	0	18	7	2	2	52	42	11	19	4	4	165

### Appendix G: Law Referrals by Level, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Felony A	Felony B	Felony C	Felony D	Felony E	Felony U	Infraction	Misd. A	Misd. B	Misd. C	Misd. D	Misd. U	Ordinance	Total
<b>34</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>207</b>
New Madrid	0	0	2	5	1	0	0	21	13	3	8	0	0	53
Pemiscot	1	3	1	13	10	0	1	45	54	13	13	0	0	154
<b>35</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>259</b>
Dunklin	0	1	1	9	3	1	0	31	18	6	7	0	8	85
Stoddard	1	6	0	5	15	0	0	31	46	38	31	0	1	174
<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>282</b>
Butler	0	3	4	13	25	1	3	88	45	8	26	0	3	219
Ripley	0	0	1	6	7	0	6	15	10	15	3	0	0	63
<b>37</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>115</b>
Carter	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	9
Howell	1	1	2	18	5	1	1	25	13	8	6	8	1	90
Oregon	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	8
Shannon	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	8
<b>38</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>274</b>
Christian	2	3	8	44	26	3	4	112	34	16	19	2	1	274
<b>39</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>316</b>
Barry	0	0	3	2	2	0	2	39	14	7	15	28	2	114
Lawrence	0	1	0	11	6	0	0	38	20	5	19	2	17	119
Stone	3	0	0	4	4	0	0	32	16	5	17	2	0	83
<b>40</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>397</b>
McDonald	1	7	8	4	10	0	8	20	9	2	13	3	0	85
Newton	13	7	12	24	30	0	19	129	41	7	25	2	3	312
<b>41</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>88</b>
Macon	3	0	1	6	7	2	3	8	8	1	0	0	24	63
Shelby	2	0	0	2	1	1	0	7	7	1	0	0	4	25
<b>42</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>143</b>
Crawford	1	0	2	14	8	0	1	18	10	8	2	1	1	66
Dent	0	4	0	12	6	1	1	18	5	3	5	0	3	58
Iron	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Reynolds	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	5
Wayne	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	1	1	2	0	0	11
<b>43</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>165</b>
Caldwell	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	13	1	1	0	0	0	19
Clinton	2	2	4	4	6	1	0	22	8	6	4	0	0	59
Daviess	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	4	4	0	1	0	0	14
DeKalb	1	0	0	4	2	0	0	20	3	2	0	0	0	32
Livingston	0	1	0	9	2	1	0	17	3	4	4	0	0	41
<b>44</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>94</b>
Douglas	0	0	3	7	3	0	0	5	5	2	4	1	0	30
Ozark	0	0	2	3	2	1	0	4	0	1	1	0	0	14
Wright	2	0	4	4	3	0	0	12	6	4	6	9	0	50
<b>45</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>160</b>
Lincoln	0	1	0	12	11	2	1	47	34	5	13	0	2	128
Pike	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	17	3	4	2	0	2	32
<b>46</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>151</b>
Taney	0	2	2	5	7	3	2	67	20	13	30	0	0	151
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>546</b>	<b>1,736</b>	<b>1,084</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>6,977</b>	<b>2,497</b>	<b>1,331</b>	<b>1,390</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>491</b>	<b>17,584</b>

**Appendix H: CA/N Referrals by Type, Circuit, and County**

Circuit/County	Juvenile Abuse	Juvenile Custody	Juvenile Neglect	Total
<b>1</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>108</b>
Clark	8	0	71	79
Schuyler	1	0	13	14
Scotland	4	0	11	15
<b>2</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>159</b>
Adair	6	0	101	107
Knox	1	0	12	13
Lewis	1	0	38	39
<b>3</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>256</b>
Grundy	38	0	57	95
Harrison	0	0	84	84
Mercer	4	2	13	19
Putnam	20	9	29	58
<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>317</b>
Atchison	0	0	16	16
Gentry	0	0	48	48
Holt	6	0	96	102
Nodaway	4	0	132	136
Worth	0	1	14	15
<b>5</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>112</b>
Andrew	1	0	1	2
Buchanan	40	0	70	110
<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>24</b>
Platte	3	2	19	24
<b>7</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>311</b>
Clay	18	17	276	311
<b>8</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>170</b>
Carroll	7	0	13	20
Ray	26	2	122	150
<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>87</b>
Chariton	0	2	32	34
Linn	0	0	37	37
Sullivan	0	0	16	16
<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>73</b>
Marion	0	0	35	35
Monroe	7	3	9	19
Ralls	0	0	19	19
<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>198</b>
St. Charles	10	55	133	198
<b>12</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>151</b>
Audrain	22	3	46	71
Montgomery	9	0	30	39
Warren	11	0	30	41
<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>301</b>
Boone	18	5	147	170
Callaway	0	1	130	131
<b>14</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>199</b>
Howard	13	0	37	50
Randolph	26	1	122	149
<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>26</b>
Lafayette	1	3	11	15
Saline	1	9	1	11
<b>16</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>991</b>	<b>1,891</b>
Jackson	518	382	991	1,891
<b>17</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>386</b>
Cass	28	25	232	285
Johnson	0	6	95	101
<b>18</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>71</b>
Cooper	1	2	20	23
Pettis	6	1	41	48

**Appendix H: CA/N Referrals by Type, Circuit, and County**

Circuit/County	Juvenile Abuse	Juvenile Custody	Juvenile Neglect	Total
<b>19</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>258</b>
Cole	133	0	125	258
<b>20</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>116</b>
Franklin	5	0	102	107
Gasconade	0	0	4	4
Osage	0	0	5	5
<b>21</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>1,206</b>
St. Louis Co.	296	250	660	1,206
<b>22</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>557</b>	<b>702</b>
St. Louis City	48	97	557	702
<b>23</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>714</b>
Jefferson	184	101	429	714
<b>24</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>195</b>
Madison	5	0	28	33
St. Francois	28	0	57	85
Ste. Genevieve	8	0	16	24
Washington	5	0	48	53
<b>25</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>1,100</b>
Maries	9	7	10	26
Phelps	79	22	227	328
Pulaski	146	10	252	408
Texas	94	17	227	338
<b>26</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>443</b>
Camden	13	30	69	112
Laclede	42	82	70	194
Miller	2	39	56	97
Moniteau	2	0	1	3
Morgan	5	18	14	37
<b>27</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>261</b>
Bates	15	2	114	131
Henry	23	5	75	103
St. Clair	0	0	27	27
<b>28</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>166</b>	<b>203</b>
Barton	2	3	19	24
Cedar	7	0	43	50
Dade	4	0	12	16
Vernon	18	3	92	113
<b>29</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>458</b>	<b>480</b>
Jasper	17	5	458	480
<b>30</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>412</b>
Benton	22	2	40	64
Dallas	9	1	64	74
Hickory	2	3	17	22
Polk	22	10	114	146
Webster	10	5	91	106
<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>216</b>
Greene	31	72	113	216
<b>32</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>332</b>
Bollinger	3	7	50	60
Cape Girardeau	33	4	140	177
Perry	5	4	86	95
<b>33</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>333</b>
Mississippi	3	0	92	95
Scott	7	0	231	238
<b>34</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>291</b>
New Madrid	35	0	85	120
Pemiscot	34	0	137	171
<b>35</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>782</b>
Dunklin	16	0	326	342
Stoddard	51	146	243	440

### Appendix H: CA/N Referrals by Type, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Juvenile Abuse	Juvenile Custody	Juvenile Neglect	Total
<b>36</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>235</b>
Butler	2	0	162	164
Ripley	2	3	66	71
<b>37</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>178</b>
Carter	0	8	1	9
Howell	12	55	40	107
Oregon	3	14	13	30
Shannon	4	15	13	32
<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>184</b>
Christian	37	21	126	184
<b>39</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>562</b>
Barry	58	54	194	306
Lawrence	12	64	90	166
Stone	10	47	33	90
<b>40</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>207</b>
McDonald	3	4	63	70
Newton	10	14	113	137
<b>41</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>148</b>
Macon	20	5	74	99
Shelby	6	1	42	49
<b>42</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>326</b>
Crawford	20	1	90	111
Dent	3	11	21	35
Iron	7	0	45	52
Reynolds	4	0	38	42
Wayne	21	0	65	86
<b>43</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>80</b>
Caldwell	4	0	13	17
Clinton	0	1	29	30
Daviess	5	1	5	11
DeKalb	3	0	10	13
Livingston	0	0	9	9
<b>44</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>
Douglas	0	0	31	31
Ozark	0	0	16	16
Wright	0	0	48	48
<b>45</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>313</b>
Lincoln	9	23	252	284
Pike	5	2	22	29
<b>46</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>207</b>
Taney	16	53	138	207
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>2,608</b>	<b>1,873</b>	<b>10,938</b>	<b>15,419</b>



### Appendix I: Status Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Behavior Injurious To Self/Others	Beyond Parental Control	Habitually Absent From Home	Muni - Carry Gun/Unlocked	Muni - Curfew	Muni - Other Violation	Muni - Possession/ Discharge Arms	Muni- Possession/ Use of a Tobacco Product	Muni - Smoking In Public Place	Status Offense - Other	Truancy	Total
<b>1</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>94</b>
Clark	35	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	64
Schuyler	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Scotland	19	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	25
<b>2</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>134</b>
Adair	17	16	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	57
Knox	19	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	41
Lewis	9	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	36
<b>3</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>69</b>
Grundy	15	2	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	15	38
Harrison	9	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	21
Mercer	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Putnam	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6
<b>4</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>175</b>
Atchison	6	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	28
Gentry	4	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	13
Holt	6	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	26
Nodaway	38	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	32	101
Worth	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
<b>5</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>323</b>
Andrew	0	5	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	23	38
Buchanan	44	44	121	0	6	0	1	10	0	5	54	285
<b>6</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>76</b>
Platte	26	11	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	76
<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>110</b>
Clay	3	18	31	0	9	11	0	1	0	0	37	110
<b>8</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>155</b>
Carroll	17	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	35
Ray	41	13	27	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	35	120
<b>9</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>214</b>
Chariton	29	14	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	64	114
Linn	32	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	19	64
Sullivan	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	36
<b>10</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>129</b>
Marion	32	29	5	0	8	0	0	0	0	3	13	90
Monroe	13	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	25
Ralls	3	6	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	14
<b>11</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>319</b>
St. Charles	31	3	151	0	72	3	0	2	0	0	57	319
<b>12</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>386</b>
Audrain	62	8	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	35	17	146
Montgomery	29	10	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	21	5	69
Warren	88	17	26	0	16	0	0	0	0	8	16	171
<b>13</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>824</b>
Boone	445	39	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	58	561
Callaway	50	151	10	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	48	263
<b>14</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>300</b>
Howard	53	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	80
Randolph	89	24	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	97	220
<b>15</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>206</b>
Lafayette	27	22	21	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	14	86
Saline	24	50	23	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	15	120
<b>16</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>70</b>
Jackson	23	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	70
<b>17</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>394</b>
Cass	84	52	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	45	261
Johnson	71	27	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	133

### Appendix I: Status Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Behavior Injurious To Self/Others	Beyond Parental Control	Habitually Absent From Home	Muni - Carry Gun/Unlocked	Muni - Curfew	Muni - Other Violation	Muni - Possession/ Discharge Arms	Muni- Possession/ Use of a Tobacco Product	Muni - Smoking In Public Place	Status Offense - Other	Truancy	Total
<b>18</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>346</b>
Cooper	89	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	22	146
Pettis	95	25	46	0	5	1	0	0	0	4	24	200
<b>19</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>234</b>
Cole	160	20	34	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	18	234
<b>20</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>269</b>
Franklin	45	11	39	0	8	0	0	3	0	34	106	246
Gasconade	3	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	12
Osage	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	11
<b>21</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>921</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>1,898</b>
St. Louis Co.	74	136	921	0	404	2	0	5	0	33	323	1,898
<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>322</b>
St. Louis City	0	22	70	0	28	0	0	1	0	0	201	322
<b>23</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>446</b>
Jefferson	62	63	118	0	9	0	0	2	0	11	181	446
<b>24</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>308</b>
Madison	11	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	29
St. Francois	44	24	18	0	0	0	0	7	1	3	21	118
Ste. Genevieve	6	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	30
Washington	18	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	42	131
<b>25</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>662</b>
Maries	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	22
Phelps	124	47	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	253
Pulaski	104	5	14	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	38	167
Texas	152	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	220
<b>26</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>268</b>
Camden	38	5	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	83
Laclede	32	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	24	88
Miller	11	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	19	62
Moniteau	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	17
Morgan	6	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	18
<b>27</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>172</b>
Bates	39	13	10	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	7	77
Henry	39	9	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	76
St. Clair	11	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	19
<b>28</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>387</b>
Barton	17	11	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	58	94
Cedar	12	7	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	50
Dade	3	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10
Vernon	77	59	16	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	78	233
<b>29</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>639</b>
Jasper	262	123	152	0	15	0	0	2	0	19	66	639
<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>204</b>
Benton	3	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	34
Dallas	2	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	16
Hickory	1	4	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	17
Polk	13	16	56	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	92
Webster	15	8	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	45
<b>31</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>207</b>
Greene	120	18	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	207
<b>32</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>563</b>
Bollinger	13	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	57
Cape Girardeau	164	13	53	0	3	0	0	0	0	7	155	395
Perry	30	11	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	111
<b>33</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>88</b>
Mississippi	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Scott	18	34	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	22	81

### Appendix I: Status Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County

Circuit/County	Behavior Injurious To Self/Others	Beyond Parental Control	Habitually Absent From Home	Muni - Carry Gun/Unlocked	Muni - Curfew	Muni - Other Violation	Muni - Possession/ Discharge Arms	Muni- Possession/ Use of a Tobacco Product	Muni - Smoking In Public Place	Status Offense - Other	Truancy	Total
<b>34</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>364</b>
New Madrid	70	40	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	25	144
Pemiscot	130	64	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	220
<b>35</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>299</b>
Dunklin	5	15	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	97	129
Stoddard	44	21	8	0	1	0	0	2	0	33	61	170
<b>36</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>134</b>
Butler	2	19	35	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	31	88
Ripley	2	17	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	46
<b>37</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>229</b>
Carter	13	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	26
Howell	34	44	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	35	163
Oregon	11	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	21
Shannon	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	19
<b>38</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>242</b>
Christian	173	23	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	242
<b>39</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>162</b>
Barry	21	10	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	47
Lawrence	17	29	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	68
Stone	29	3	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	47
<b>40</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>309</b>
McDonald	20	10	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	39
Newton	106	63	34	0	13	0	0	0	0	13	41	270
<b>41</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>152</b>
Macon	45	28	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	13	95
Shelby	26	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	57
<b>42</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>287</b>
Crawford	23	20	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	27	79
Dent	11	16	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	39
Iron	7	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	124
Reynolds	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	15
Wayne	2	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	30
<b>43</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>195</b>
Caldwell	11	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	23
Clinton	30	8	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	73
Daviess	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
DeKalb	20	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	33
Livingston	24	19	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	59
<b>44</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>41</b>
Douglas	2	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	11
Ozark	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Wright	9	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	28
<b>45</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>262</b>
Lincoln	52	27	20	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	133	237
Pike	4	2	2	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	9	25
<b>46</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>78</b>
Taney	48	1	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	78
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>4,460</b>	<b>2,080</b>	<b>2,729</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>646</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>3,295</b>	<b>13,745</b>

**Appendix J: Administrative Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County**

Circuit/County	Juvenile Formal Supervision/ Technical Violation	Juvenile Informal Supervision/ Technical Violation	Probation/ Parole Violation	Probation Violation	Violation Of Valid Court Order	Total
<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
Gentry	0	0	0	0	3	3
<b>5</b>	<b>994</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,113</b>
Andrew	27	4	0	1	0	32
Buchanan	967	111	3	0	0	1,081
<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
Platte	0	0	0	0	3	3
<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>
Clay	8	1	0	0	1	10
<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Ray	1	0	0	0	0	1
<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>
St. Charles	8	0	0	0	0	8
<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
Randolph	0	0	0	0	3	3
<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>247</b>
Jackson	0	208	0	0	39	247
<b>17</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17</b>
Cass	13	0	0	0	1	14
Johnson	2	1	0	0	0	3
<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>
Pettis	0	1	0	0	3	4
<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
Cole	0	0	0	0	6	6
<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Franklin	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>194</b>
St. Louis Co.	0	0	1	0	193	194
<b>22</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>57</b>
St. Louis City	32	9	12	0	4	57
<b>23</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>113</b>
Jefferson	10	5	60	0	38	113
<b>24</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>37</b>
Madison	0	1	0	0	10	11
St. Francois	6	3	0	0	13	22
Ste. Genevieve	0	0	0	0	4	4
<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Camden	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>28</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>35</b>
Barton	6	6	0	0	0	12
Cedar	1	3	0	0	0	4
Vernon	12	6	0	0	1	19
<b>29</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>
Jasper	1	0	0	0	9	10
<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Greene	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>33</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>62</b>
Mississippi	16	0	0	0	0	16
Scott	32	14	0	0	0	46
<b>35</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
Dunklin	0	0	0	0	1	1
Stoddard	1	0	0	0	0	1
<b>38</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>
Christian	10	4	0	0	0	14
<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
Lawrence	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
Newton	0	0	3	0	0	3

**Appendix J: Administrative Referrals by Violation, Circuit, and County**

Circuit/County	Juvenile Formal Supervision/ Technical Violation	Juvenile Informal Supervision/ Technical Violation	Probation/ Parole Violation	Probation Violation	Violation Of Valid Court Order	Total
<b>41</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>
Macon	1	7	0	0	0	8
Shelby	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>43</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>
DeKalb	1	0	0	2	0	3
<b>45</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>
Lincoln	5	0	0	0	1	6
<b>Statewide Total</b>	<b>1,160</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>1,964</b>

### Appendix K: Out of Home Placements by Circuit

Circuit	Court Res. Care		DMH		CD		DYS*		Relative		Private Agency		Public Agency		Other		Total
	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases
1	0	0%	0	0%	11	92%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	12
2	0	0%	0	0%	84	95%	2	2%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	88
3	0	0%	0	0%	45	96%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	47
4	0	0%	1	2%	47	98%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	48
5	29	36%	0	0%	42	52%	1	1%	4	5%	1	1%	3	4%	1	1%	81
6	1	3%	0	0%	22	73%	7	23%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	30
7	0	0%	0	0%	100	70%	34	24%	2	1%	0	0%	6	4%	0	0%	142
8	0	0%	0	0%	13	32%	25	61%	3	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	41
9	2	3%	0	0%	48	72%	1	1%	13	19%	0	0%	0	0%	3	4%	67
10	1	2%	0	0%	55	96%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	57
11	0	0%	0	0%	122	88%	14	10%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	138
12	0	0%	0	0%	28	64%	0	0%	13	30%	1	2%	0	0%	2	5%	44
13	0	0%	0	0%	117	98%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	120
14	5	9%	0	0%	21	37%	9	16%	16	28%	2	4%	1	2%	3	5%	57
15	0	0%	0	0%	20	61%	13	39%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33
16	39	5%	0	0%	582	79%	60	8%	42	6%	2	0%	0	0%	9	1%	734
17	0	0%	0	0%	41	79%	4	8%	3	6%	2	4%	0	0%	2	4%	52
18	0	0%	0	0%	28	60%	13	28%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	13%	47
19	0	0%	0	0%	20	77%	4	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	8%	26
20	0	0%	0	0%	5	42%	6	50%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	12
21	0	0%	0	0%	238	61%	20	5%	96	25%	0	0%	7	2%	29	7%	390
22	10	4%	0	0%	230	84%	27	10%	5	2%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%	275
23	0	0%	3	1%	154	35%	44	10%	240	54%	1	0%	3	1%	1	0%	446
24	1	1%	0	0%	118	69%	31	18%	10	6%	0	0%	10	6%	0	0%	170
25	6	2%	0	0%	264	93%	4	1%	9	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	283
26	0	0%	1	3%	32	97%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33
27	1	2%	0	0%	34	67%	3	6%	12	24%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	51
28	0	0%	0	0%	37	41%	54	59%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	91
29	6	3%	0	0%	173	74%	26	11%	21	9%	1	0%	1	0%	6	3%	234
30	4	5%	0	0%	37	44%	12	14%	27	32%	0	0%	3	4%	1	1%	84
31	1	1%	0	0%	163	87%	12	6%	9	5%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	187
32	0	0%	0	0%	103	93%	8	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	111
33	0	0%	0	0%	125	69%	8	4%	39	21%	4	2%	5	3%	1	1%	182
34	0	0%	0	0%	126	91%	1	1%	4	3%	4	3%	3	2%	0	0%	138
35	6	3%	0	0%	114	59%	3	2%	70	36%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	193
36	5	7%	0	0%	39	52%	2	3%	25	33%	0	0%	0	0%	4	5%	75
37	2	4%	0	0%	49	86%	5	9%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	57
38	1	2%	1	2%	33	59%	13	23%	7	13%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	56
39	0	0%	0	0%	160	98%	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	164
40	0	0%	0	0%	14	54%	4	15%	8	31%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26
41	3	7%	0	0%	38	93%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	41
42	3	2%	0	0%	102	84%	4	3%	10	8%	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	122
43	0	0%	0	0%	69	83%	11	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	4%	83
44	0	0%	0	0%	47	94%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	50
45	0	0%	2	2%	74	68%	5	5%	28	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	109
46	0	0%	0	0%	169	95%	5	3%	0	0%	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	178
Total	126	2%	8	0%	4,193	73%	506	9%	719	13%	28	0%	47	1%	78	1%	5,705

### Appendix L: In Home Services by Circuit

Circuit	Supervision By Court		DMH		CD		DYS		Private Agency		Public Agency		Other		Total
	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases
1	0	66%	0	0%	24	22%	0	0%	12	11%	0	0%	0	0%	107
2	60	64%	0	0%	4	5%	0	0%	20	27%	3	4%	0	0%	74
3	72	73%	3	2%	23	17%	0	0%	10	7%	1	1%	0	0%	139
4	112	73%	9	6%	14	9%	0	0%	14	9%	4	3%	2	1%	158
5	1195	83%	0	0%	8	3%	0	0%	20	7%	21	7%	1	0%	300
6	112	79%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	37	19%	191
7	63	98%	0	0%	5	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	206
8	42	81%	4	10%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	2	5%	42
9	21	45%	0	0%	3	27%	0	0%	3	27%	0	0%	0	0%	11
10	78	93%	0	0%	7	4%	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	165
11	337	57%	1	0%	4	0%	0	0%	454	38%	50	4%	3	0%	1,180
12	78	92%	3	1%	8	3%	0	0%	3	1%	2	1%	6	2%	265
13	59	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	178
14	6	14%	2	29%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	29%	7
15	51	78%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	6	9%	6	9%	0	0%	65
16	147	43%	0	0%	80	57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	141
17	291	93%	12	2%	19	3%	0	0%	10	2%	2	0%	0	0%	641
18	112	73%	18	10%	5	3%	0	0%	17	10%	2	1%	4	2%	172
19	64	82%	0	0%	7	11%	1	2%	0	0%	2	3%	2	3%	66
20	61	98%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	132
21	103	56%	1	0%	123	38%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	15	5%	320
22	287	92%	4	1%	2	0%	0	0%	21	4%	7	1%	7	1%	522
23	391	87%	0	0%	47	13%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	365
24	74	64%	21	17%	2	2%	0	0%	2	2%	7	6%	12	10%	121
25	63	98%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	63
26	28	52%	2	3%	22	35%	0	0%	5	8%	1	2%	0	0%	62
27	93	75%	8	3%	48	20%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	236
28	146	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	134
29	156	62%	3	1%	68	17%	3	1%	15	4%	48	12%	15	4%	400
30	114	63%	8	6%	32	23%	0	0%	10	7%	2	1%	0	0%	139
31	248	84%	43	11%	3	1%	0	0%	5	1%	1	0%	11	3%	395
32	61	98%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	103
33	187	79%	0	0%	19	11%	0	0%	3	2%	13	7%	2	1%	176
34	129	96%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	27
35	38	85%	1	1%	15	11%	0	0%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	134
36	41	36%	0	0%	7	5%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	85	58%	146
37	54	89%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	8	9%	94
38	145	98%	0	0%	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	173
39	47	81%	3	4%	10	12%	0	0%	2	2%	1	1%	0	0%	83
40	106	83%	2	1%	10	7%	2	1%	2	1%	8	6%	0	0%	144
41	10	64%	1	2%	18	30%	0	0%	1	2%	1	2%	1	2%	61
42	66	80%	1	1%	12	7%	0	0%	13	8%	5	3%	3	2%	166
43	94	99%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	210
44	5	92%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	8%	51
45	61	99%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	144
46	56	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	56
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,764</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>664</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>664</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>8,709</b>

**Appendix M: Commitments to DYS by Circuit, Race, and Gender**

Circuit	White		Black		Hispanic		Native American		Asian / Pacific Islander		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
5	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
7	12	6	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	29
8	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
9	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
10	6	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
11	8	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	16
13	8	2	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	19
14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
15	9	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14
16	5	1	34	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
17	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
18	13	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
19	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
20	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
21	3	0	12	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	18
22	0	0	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
23	18	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
24	23	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
25	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
26	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
27	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
28	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
29	24	8	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	37
30	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
31	17	3	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
32	6	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
33	10	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	14
34	4	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
35	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
36	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
37	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
38	11	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	17
39	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
40	8	4	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	17
41	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
42	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
43	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
44	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
45	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
46	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>518</b>



**Appendix N: Certification to Adult Court by Circuit, Race, and Gender**

Circuit	White		Black		Hispanic		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
13	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
16	0	0	11	1	0	0	0	0	12
18	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
19	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
21	1	0	13	1	0	0	0	0	15
22	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
25	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
31	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
33	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
38	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
46	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>53</b>

# Missouri's 46 Judicial Circuits



Office of State Courts Administrator  
P.O. Box 104480, 2112 Industrial Drive, Jefferson City, MO 65110